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## Letter from the MD

The changing face of Indian healthcare services - from a grossly underserved market to quality healthcare services (although still quite under-penetrated) at affordable rates - has already turned India into one of the leading destinations for 'healthcare tourism'. This enhances the value-growth visibility for Indian diagnostics services, which is an integral part of healthcare services.

Meanwhile, a conducive macro environment in India for the rapid spread of diagnostics services suggests uninterrupted, healthy, and long-term profitable growth for the Indian diagnostic industry.

In this issue of Ground View titled "Diagnosing India - Excellent Prognosis", authored by our Healthcare and speciality chemicals analyst Surya Narayan Patra, presents the ground realities of the Indian diagnostic market, and trains the spotlight on various aspects of this industry from the perspective of its participants and investors.

Additionally, this issue covers an interview with Mr Nitin Sood, group CFO, PVR, in which he discusses emerging trends in the Indian movie exhibition industry and growth opportunities arising from geographic expansion of organised segments into midtowns.

Best wishes
Vineet Bhatnagar
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## Diagnosing India:

## Excellent prognosis

Dr LalPathLabs' and Thyrocare's recent successful initial public offerings (with overwhelming response from investors resulting in $33 x$ and $73 x$ oversubscription respectively) has brought Indian diagnostics to the fore as the new healthcare investment destination. The big question is - can diagnostics fulfil investor appetite? The diagnostic industry has already emerged as the fastest-growing segment of the Indian healthcare industry led by under-penetration of diagnostics services with lower tests per patients, ageing population, changing disease profile to chronic lifestylerelated illnesses, and more importantly, the transition of the Indian industry towards becoming organised. Additionally, this business's strong capital efficiency (because of higher margins and healthy asset turnover) and its flexibility to expand geographically with minimal capital have captured investors' desires. However, the limitation of the Indian diagnostics industry as an investment avenue is its relatively smaller base. This issue attempts to make an accurate prognosis of the Indian diagnostics industry.
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## INDIAN HOSPITALS VS. DIAGNOSTICS INDUSTRY

## Can diagnostics fulfil investment appetite in Indian healthcare?

Diagnostics plays a critical role in healthcare services - in identifying causes and symptoms of various diseases. It saves millions of lives each year by detecting diseases in early stages, helping the doctor offer appropriate and quick treatment. Thus, it curbs the overuse of inappropriate medicines and plays a critical role in the fight against drug-resistant bugs.

Although diagnostics have been an integral part of the healthcare services since a long time, and about $70 \%$ of all treatment decisions are based on diagnostics test results, the segment remained unavailable to secondary-market investors until recent IPOs of Dr Lal PathLabs and Thyrocare. The overwhelming response from investors, with
 $33 x$ over subscription in Dr Lal and over 73x in


Thyrocare, brought out diagnostics as the new healthcare investment destination.

The hospitals business in India has always been considered to hold immense potential given the huge unmet need in Indian healthcare, significant under penetration of healthcare services, and limited number of organised players. However, constrained by the capital-intensive nature of the business, both growth and share-price performance of Indian hospital companies (accounting for $+60 \%$ of the Indian healthcare industry) have been much below Indian pharmaceuticals (32\% of Indian healthcare) over the last five years. Can diagnostics ( $\sim 6 \%$ of Indian healthcare) step in to fulfil investment appetite?

Key growth drivers for the Indian diagnostics market
$\checkmark$ Under-penetration of diagnostics comparatively lower tests per patients in India
$\checkmark$ Ageing population
$\checkmark$ Changing disease profile - to chronic, lifestyle, and genetic. These require higher and regular diagnostic tests
$\checkmark$ Rising acceptance of personalised medicines
$\checkmark$ Improving health consciousness, rising spending power, and better life expectancy have made preventive healthcare (wellness tests) a popular and fast-growing driver
$\checkmark$ Longer-term driver: The emerging industry structure is heading towards providing healthcare services as an integrated comprehensive package rather than the traditional concept of providing healthcare infrastructure and reactive medical care

> India's per capita total expenditure on healthcare is too low compared to advanced markets and even vs. comparable markets such as Brazil, Indonesia, Thailand, and Russia

Huge unmet healthcare need, significant under-penetration of healthcare services, lower consumer spending on healthcare

India's average healthcare expenditure from 2000 to 2014 is $4.4 \%$ of GDP.


The share of the government's expenditure on healthcare in India has improved to $30 \%$ in 2014 from $22 \%$ in 2004. However, India ranks lower compared to other developing countries such as China, Brazil, Russia, Indonesia, and Malaysia

Government expenditure as a \% of total health expenditure as on 2014


India's share in the global disease burden is $20 \%$, while its share of healthcare infrastructure is much lower with only 6\% of global hospital beds and 8\% share of doctors and nursing staff



Overview of healthcare infrastructure in India


India lags behind other developed and emerging economies in healthcare infrastructure

The gap remains substantial, with only nine beds per 10,000 people, significantly lower than the other countries and the global median of 30 beds per 10,000 people

# Diagnostics: Small but fastest growing within Indian healthcare 

The Indian healthcare industry (represented by healthcare services, pharmaceuticals, and medical equipment) is estimated at about US\$ 100bn as of FY15. Diagnostic services (a small part of healthcare services) contributes about US\$ 6bn - representing 6\% of the overall Indian healthcare industry.

Like the Indian healthcare delivery industry (hospitals), the diagnostic market is highly fragmented and dominated by unorganised players, which hold about $85 \%$ market share. Just like in the hospitals segment, the organised dizagnostic market is controlled by a few pan-India chains - SRL laboratories (SRL Labs), Dr Lal PathLabs (Dr Lal), Thyrocare Technologies (Thyrocare), and Metropolis Laboratories. In terms of growth, the diagnostic industry has outpaced the hospital market over the last five years with annualised compounded growth of $16 \%$ vs. $11 \%$ for hospitals. Within the diagnostic segment, the organised pan-India chains delivered compounded annual growth of over $20 \%$ vs. industry growth of $16 \%$.

The share of diagnostics in overall healthcare in India is low


## Factors that will drive future growth for the Indian diagnostic industry

The segment, constrained by under penetration and comparatively lower tests per patients so far, will see accelerated growth led by:
$\checkmark$ Under penetration of diagnostic labs in India
$\checkmark$ Increasing penetration of wellness and preventive tests
$\checkmark$ Visible shift of diagnostic chains to organised players from unorganised ones
$\checkmark$ Changing disease profile to lifestyle diseases requiring more high-end diagnostic tests

> Although diagnostics plays a vital role in the spectrum of Indian healthcare delivery services (by identifying the root cause and ensuring that the patient gets appropriate treatment), its share in overall healthcare spends is lower

# Diagnostics business mix Revenue split between pathology and imaging 

## Revenue breakdown of the Indian diagnostics industry by pathology testing and imaging diagnosis in FY15

Pathology tests dominate the diagnostics market


Basedonthenature ofdiagnosispractices and facilities, diagnostic services can broadly be divided into pathology and radiology. While pathology tests involves laboratory analysis of bodily fluids such as blood and urine as well as tissues, radiology uses a variety of imaging techniques such as X -ray, ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), nuclear medicine including positron emission tomography (PET), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to diagnose and/or treat diseases.

Since pathology is the first-line of diagnosis for many diseases and provides a wider coverage of tests, it dominates the Indian diagnostics market with about $56 \%$ revenue market share. On the other hand, radiology is still out of reach for a large mass of
the Indian population, as the cost of imaging diagnostic services is often more expensive than pathology testing. Pathology tests are further categorised into biochemistry, immunology, haematology and molecular pathology. As per industry sources, biochemistry tests (covering blood sugar, lipid profile) hold a dominant share of $\sim 39 \%$ within the pathology segment, followed by immunology (deals with an organism's immune response to a certain disease) and hematology (deals with diseases of blood cells, tissues, bone marrow, lymph nodes, thymus, and spleen). In biochemistry, blood sugar and lipid profile tests have a dominant share and these two types of tests contribute $\sim 30 \%$ of overall revenues from biochemistry testing.


Dr Vivek Jain, Director of
P.H.Medicals Centre says, "The share of biochemistry tests for smaller labs is as high as 70-80\% followed by hematology tests, which account for over $20 \%$ share. This is due to the cabality/focus on these tests and their practice to outsource the high-end tests to bigger laboratories. In case of medium to large labs, the share of immunology is over 40\%."

He believes that molecular tests in India are still in a nascent stage and largely catered to by select large diagnostic chains. However, he foresees relatively faster growth in molecular tests ahead.

## Industry size: Debatable?



Various industry studies peg domestic diagnostics industry CAGR at 15-17\% to potentially reach US\$ 9bn by FY18. However, Dr A Velumani, CMD of Thyrocare Technologies holds a very different opinion. He says, "The market size (of ~US\$ 6bn for the Indian diagnostics market) is grossly under-reported - this is because our country lacks any system of documentation for diagnostics or healthcare services, which is largely catered to by the unorganised sector. Similarly, reported growth of $15-17 \%$ seems low, considering that India's demographic is shifting towards a higher age from a predominantly younger one."

He believes the ground realities for the Indian diagnostics industry suggest accelerated growth. While the annual per capita spend in the US on diagnostics is around US\$ 100, it is about US\$ 6 in India, implying significant scope for growth, led by increasing income levels. India is still a young country with an average age of around 25 years; the need for diagnostics services grows with age. So, as India's average demographic begins ageing, its diagnostics market should see 20-25\% growth over the next 20 years.

Prime Minister Shri Narendra Modi's government initiatives - which aim to provide all Indian citizens with free drugs, diagnostics, and insurance cover ( to treat serious ailments under the National Health Assurance Mission) should provide adequate volume growth to Indian diagnostics. Improving healthcare services at affordable rates are turning India into one of the leading destinations for 'healthcare tourism' - this also enhances the value-growth visibility for Indian diagnostic services.

## Under-penetration and under-utilisation of diagnostics labs in India makes growth outlook robust

Like unmet healthcare infrastructure in India, diagnostics infrastructure is considerably low compared to both advanced and emerging markets. India's 39 diagnostics labs per million people (compared to US' 418 labs and Brazil's 60), shows the level of under-penetration. Significantly lower number of tests per patients in India compared to other markets indicates tremendous scope for growth. In fact, the average no of test per patients in India is 2-3 against 11 tests performed per patient in the US and seven tests in comparable country like Brazil.


# Preventive diagnostics is likely to see robust 23-25\% CAGR over the next few years 

## Rapid progression of wellness/preventive tests makes diagnostics the fastest-growing segment

Steady progress of the Indian economy and consequent rising income levels and urbanisation have played a vital role in creating awareness towards health and wellness. The strategic focus of organised diagnostics chains toward preventive and wellness test packages (to optimise costs through scale) created a revolution of sorts in the preventive diagnostics market, particularly in urban areas. As per the 2016 World Population Reference Bureau, India's urban population accounts for just $33 \%$ of its total, compared to $78 \%$ in the developed world and $54 \%$ in developing countries. Moreover, rising number of tieups with diagnostics chains from large companies (preventive checks for employees) and insurance companies ('medi-claim' plans), coupled with possible faster urbanisation, provide robust growth visibility for diagnostics.

## Urbanisation to go a long way towards driving preventive diagnostics



## Shift towards organised diagnostics places diagnostics chains in a better position

Although diagnostics was initially an integral part of hospital services, the unorganised segment gradually captured a leading share of the domestic market, supported by weak regulatory environment and low entry barriers. In recent years, pan-India chains with national and international accreditations, have

## The increasing practice of outsourcing of diagnostic services by hospitals will further boost the growth of organised diagnostic sector

gained momentum. Increasing awareness about healthcare and quality diagnosis, and changing treatment practice of doctors towards evidence-based prescription has supported the organised chain. Despite that, organised chains account for just $15 \%$ of the overall diagnostics market. The share of diagnostic chains is likely to see a $22 \%$ CAGR in the near future and gradually grab market share from the unorganised segment.

Additionally, the increasing practice of outsourcing of diagnostic services by hospitals will further boost the growth of the organised diagnostic sector. In fact, diagnostics had (so far) been considered an inherent part of the hospital business, but new management strategies look at this as 'non-core', hence believe it should be outsourced. Since diagnostics is a

Source: Industry report, PhillipCapital India Research

business of scale, in-house laboratories are a costly proposition for hospitals. Such changing practice by hospitals will boost the growth momentum of the Indian diagnostics industry further.

## Aging population should ensure long-term volume growth

India is still a young country with an average age of around 25 years and the need for diagnostic services grows with age. As the demography ages (over the next couple of decades), the Indian diagnostics market will see accelerated volume growth. As per United Nations' world population statistics, Indian population $>60$ years is likely to grow by about $+40 \%$ over the next ten years. As per industry sources, seniors aged 60+ require nearly 12 lab tests per year compared to about three

for the 18-44 age group. This aging population should continue to provide incremental testing volume for several years to come.

## Changing disease profile (to lifestyle diseases) will lead value growth

With improving per-capita income, lifestyle-related illnesses have been increasing rapidly in India over the last few years. To be specific, diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular diseases, and diabetes are seeing a steady rise and these are likely to increase both - the number of required high-end tests and tests per patient. Ultimately, this changing disease profile will lead to volume and value growth of Indian diagnostics.

Chroniclifestyle related diseases have become the key cause of death in India; should lead to value growth for the diagnostic industry


# Business of scale; volumes play a dominant role 


mproving awareness for healthcare, changing doctors' practice - towards prescribing based on evidence of diagnostic tests - coupled with improving technology in diagnosis led to the boom in private and standalone diagnostics laboratories. Gradually, supported by privateequity funding, organised pan-India diagnostics chains spread their network through the franchisee model. In the current situation, key participants in diagnostics are doctors and hospitals, laboratories, franchisee (collections centres), and vendors (re-agent suppliers).

## Doctors (and hospitals) are the undisputed spearheads for diagnostics

Although 'self-referred' preventive or wellness tests have emerged as a new trend in diagnostics, doctors and hospitals are still the primary source of referrals for various healthcare tests. As doctors changed their treatment practice to 'evidence based' (from the traditional trial and error approach) in order to administer appropriate and
timely treatment and to curtail the abuse of drugs, the growth outlook of the diagnostics industry started becoming rosy. Doctors remain undisputed leaders of the diagnostic business because they are the ones who initiate leads for diagnostics labs by referring patients, often to specific labs. Other participants' bargaining power comes from volumes of tests or patients that they handle.

## Laboratories are challenged by intense competition

After doctors, laboratories play an important role, but their bargaining power and profitability is greatly challenged because of intense competition - there is no regulatory entry barrier and the presence of unorganised players is widespread. Laboratories are collectively represented by panIndia chains and standalone labs. While pan-India chains are generally organized and accredited from domestic and international agencies (National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories - NABL, The College of American Pathologists - CAP), standalone labs are largely

# India has just about 1,200 accredited labs,i.e.,onlyabout1\%ofover100,000 diagnostic labs that function in India 

unorganised and operate purely based on their local connections. As per sources at NABL, India has just about 1,200 accredited labs, i.e., only about $1 \%$ of over 100,000 diagnostic labs that function in India.

## Diagnostic chains mainly operate under a hub-andspoke model

Typically, there is a central processing lab, satellite labs (regional labs), and collection centres. Central labs are normally equipped to conduct both routine and specialised pathology and at times radiology tests. Satellite labs offer a limited range of services and mainly act as feeders for central labs. Satellite labs are mostly owned by the diagnostics chains. In order to enhance the volume of tests for the central lab and its earning efficiency, diagnostics chains widen their sample collection network by using modern logistics and information technology systems.

In fact, satellite labs have the potential to leverage capital expenditure in a better way by effective extension of their network into wider areas using limited capital. Additionally, such labs play an important role for grabbing time-sensitive tests in regional areas.

Collection centres can serve multiple labs
simultaneously simultaneously

Collection centres are are mainly involved in the collection and forwarding of patient samples to
satellite or central labs. They collect samples from walk-in patients, hospitals, nursing homes, pathology labs, doctors' clinics, etc. These centres are companyowned or franchised. Some centres are equipped with basic equipment to conduct routine tests and maintain minimal staff - such as a receptionist, a lab technician, attendants, and people for delivery.

If collection centres are not owned by chains, they generally operate on a fixed sample-collection charge. A franchisee usually pays a franchise fee of around Rs 50,000 to get a license to operate a collection centre for a diagnostic chain.

Although collection centres and franchisees operate as per their underlying contracts with diagnostic chains, they are not always bound by terms to forward samples to specific laboratories or chains. Based on many factors (specification of a doctor, demand of a patient, capabilities of associate laboratories, or the margin they earn on the test), they could forward samples to labs other than their 'associate' labs.

## Vendors (equipment and reagent suppliers) are normally at the receiving end

Vendors supply diagnostic equipment or analysers as well as chemical reagents to laboratories - these are the key to any diagnostic test. The diagnostic devices and reagents market is largely dominated by leading multinational companies such as Siemens, Abbott Diagnostics, and Roche Diagnostics. There are few Indians companies who compete with these MNCs including Transasia Bio-Medicals and Agappe Diagnostics. Apart from these, there are over 50 diagnostics companies, who focus on either importing from various international markets (including China) and distributing in India, or on manufacturing and supplying rapid diagnostic test kits.


# While the MNC biggies are suppliers of devices as well as reagents to all leading diagnostics chains and a few large standalone laboratories in India, local manufactures or distributors of imported devices/reagents depend on unorganised diagnostic players 

While the MNC biggies are suppliers of devices as well as reagents to all leading diagnostics chains and a few large standalone laboratories in India, local manufactures or distributors of imported devices/ reagents depend on unorganised diagnostic players.

Normally, diagnostic machines or analysers come with specific reagents. While the analysers are a onetime capital cost, reagents are key variable costs for diagnostics laboratories. Hence, vendors are more lenient in terms of prices while selling machines, but try to make money from regular reagent supplies. Wherever vendors see scope for high volume of reagent sales at a lab (based on high tests volume), they tend to supply machines on a marginal rent, and take a certain volume commitment for reagents from these labs. Such an arrangement is a commonly followed practice among laboratories and vendors and is called 'reagent rentals'.


Business of scale - volumes play a key role in labfranchisee payment terms

Doctors reign supreme in diagnostics since (more often than not) they generate leads for laboratories through referrals, often to specific laboratories. The bargaining power of other participants of the diagnostic business (laboratories, franchisees, and vendors) depends on the volume of tests/patients they handle. While the diagnostic chains are trying their best to expand their networks of collection centres through franchisees and leveraging modern logistics of flights and technology,
franchisees enhance their patient base through strong local connect with doctors, hospitals, and clinics. The main reason for generating volume is that it ultimately provides the required bargaining power. Volume decides the terms of payment between laboratories and franchisee; therefore, different labs-franchisees have different terms. However, in few cases, brand positioning of the laboratories overpowers the volume generated by the franchisee.

In the case of commercial supply arrangements between the vendor and laboratories, ONLY volume plays a pivotal role. While large diagnostic chains get a better bargain on reagents and analyser machines largely on 'reagent rental' basis, standalone/smaller laboratory chains try to negotiate hard on machines and do not receive great deals for reagents.

Ms Ameera Shah, MD, Metropolis says, "Volume plays an important role in the profitability of a centre, but in our experience, quality directly contributes to volumes. Metropolis enjoys a good reputation for specialised and super-specialised tests and doctors and patients choose us for the quality and the accuracy we deliver. Vendors operate in a competitive market and laboratories enjoy bargaining power as vendors cater to only about $10 \%$ of the market."

> Volume decides the terms of payment between laboratories and franchisee; therefore, different labs-franchisees have different terms

# Esoteric tests are low-volume, but command better margin 

Even as there is limited awareness about overall healthcare or diagnostic tests in India, claims by various laboratories or diagnostic chains about the number and type of tests they offer is mind-boggling-sounds like a marketing gimmick. Among large organised chains, Dr Lal PathLabs offer the highest number of tests at about 4,600, followed by Metropolis, which offers 4,500, and SRL at 3,800; Thyrocare brings up the rear at a much lesser 135. While the huge number of tests offered can make things confusing, diagnostics tests can broadly be categorised under: Biochemistry, Hematology, Immunology, Microbiology, Molecular, Radiology

## Routine, regular, and voluminous tests

Supported by high volumes, the basic costs (reagents, analyser) to laboratories are comparatively low; accordingly, their pricing is low. Generally, these tests are offered by all small and unorganised players, which results in intense competition and ultimately lower prices. These include:

- Biochemistry: Including liver and functioning, sugar levels, and cardiac tests
- Hematology: Including hemoglobin, platelet, blood count, blood coagulation
- Microbiology: Normally, tests of urine, stool, sputum, and pleural fluids.
- Immunology: Related to hormones, cancer markers, vitamin deficiency, etc.

| High-end tests enjoy limited competition and better pricing |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Particulars | Average <br> price (Rs) | Nature | Volume | Competition |
| Biochemistry tests | $200-250$ | Routine | High | High |
| Hematology tests | $150-200$ | Routine | High | High |
| Immunology tests | $900-1000$ | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate |
| Microbiology tests | $500-600$ | Routine | Moderate | High |
| Molecular tests | $5,000-50,000$ | High-end | Low | Low |
| Radiology | $5,000-30,000$ | High-end | Moderate | Low |

## Esoteric tests are low in volumes, but high in value

Molecular tests (mainly tests related to DNA for genetic or heredity diseases) and radiology tests (particularly CT scan, MRI, and PET-CT) are high-end tests and command higher price. These tests do not fall under the concept of preventive healthcare. Their complexity is directly related to high-cost reagents and more advanced equipment. Volumes for such tests are normally an area of concern for laboratories, as these are very selective and done only on the referral of limited number of specialist doctors. Hence, laboratories offering such esoteric test build capabilities in their central labs and are required to build a rapport with specialist doctors (whose referral fees are also relatively high). However, these 'higherend' tests often enjoy better revenue realisation per patient and superior margins.

Affordability of these esoteric molecular diagnostics tests is a subject in its own right. In India, only a small percentage of the population can afford expensive diagnostics tests. Organised

> Dr Lal PathLabs offer the highest number of tests at about 4,600, followed by Metropolis, which offers 4,500, and SRL at 3,800; Thyrocare brings up the rear at a much lesser 135

# Thyrocare, a well-known disrupter of prices in thyroid tests in India, has already shown its initial move of price disruption in PET-CT tests by offering these at ₹9,999 per test against competitors' average pricing of around ₹ 25,000 

players have taken this up as a challenge and come up with cheaper assays and associated instruments that will pave the way for offering complex genomic tests at affordable prices. In fact, diagnostic chains such as Metropolis, Dr Lal PathLabs, and SRL (apart from government-sponsored centre for DNA fingerprinting and diagnostics) offer molecular tests, and have welltrained manpower for providing counselling on genetic tests.

## Radiology tests are becoming more affordable and advanced at diagnostic centres

Radiology is a technique to let doctors see what's going on inside a human body. Normally, these tests (including CT scan, MRI, and PET-CT scan) are generally known as 'esoteric' tests and are used for detection of cancer in its early stages, locating tumours, and sometimes even help to predict whether a tumour is cancerous or not. Conducting these tests requires specialist doctors and expensive imported equipment. The PET-CT radiology centres need to adhere to the Bhabha Atomic Research Center's guidelines, as these tests require radioactive isotopes. Up until recently, only hospitals used to conduct these tests and they were very expensive due to low patient volumes. However, of late, diagnostic
chains and large standalone labs have captured this as a pre-hospitalisation opportunity and have established fully equipped labs to conduct all radiology tests. This is likely to lead to optimal utilisation of expensive machines and to lower the test rates.

There are other basic radiology tests, including X-ray, ultrasound/sonography, colour doppler, and mammography, which are relatively low-priced and are offered by many labs - both organized and unorganized.

On the pricing of diagnostic tests, Dr Vivek Jain Director, P.H.Medicals Centre says, "Volume of tests and competition certainly plays an important role in the pricing of pathology tests, but at times, the brand positioning of a laboratory in the region that it has a stronghold on, could allow it to take a price hike. On the contrary, the pricing of high-end radiology tests (primarily - CT scan, MRI and PET-CT) is completely inelastic to volume. Despite steady volume growth in patients for CT scan and MRI tests at P.H.Medicals, our test prices have remained static over the last five years. Within radiology, PET-CT enjoys least competition due to strict regulation and compliance requirements, yet price hike there is impossible."


Molecular and radiology tests face limited competition, hence better pricing

## Types of tests

Diagnosis tests are broadly two types: Pathology and radiology.

## Pathology

These tests can be classified into categories based on methods used and types of diseases targeted for investigation. Its three broad categories are clinical, anatomical, and molecular pathology.

- Clinical pathology tests are aimed at diagnosing diseases through the study of chemical and biochemical mechanisms of the human body, such as detecting changes in electrolytes and enzymes in the blood and body fluids samples - to check for various diseases. Common clinical pathology tests include blood sugar, lipid, pregnancy, cholesterol, and blood cell count.
- Anatomical tests are aimed at diagnosing diseases such as cancer through the microscopic study of organs and tissue samples. These tissue samples may consist of tissue obtained from any part of the human body through biopsy, specimens
of separated cells in fluids, or tissue smears.
- Molecular tests analyse DNA and RNA to detect heritable or acquired disease-related genotypes, mutations, phenotypes, or karyotypes. Molecular tests assist doctors in determining the severity of a disease, identifying carriers, and conducting clinical diagnoses or prognoses.


## Radiology

This is a specialty that uses medical imaging to diagnose and treat diseases. A variety of imaging techniques such as X-ray radiography, ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), nuclear medicine including positron emission tomography (PET), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are used to diagnose and/or treat diseases. Interventional radiology is the performance of medical procedures (usually minimally invasive) with the guidance of imaging technologies.

## Diseases and tests

| Particulars | Type of diseases | Type of test |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Biochemistry | Mainly chronic | Cholesterol, HDL, LDL, triglycerides, SGOT, SGPT, bilirubin, alkaline <br> phosphatase, creatinine, uric acid, protein |
| Hematology | Diseases affecting the blood of patients | Hemoglobin, PCV, TLC, platelet count, automated DLC, HbA1c, CBC |
| Immunology | Diseases caused by an abnormal immune <br> response, such as autoimmune diseases, <br> immunodeficiency diseases and allergies | IgA antibodies test serum, IgA antibodies test cardiolipin, AFP (Alpha <br> Fetoprotein), tumor marker, IgG, IgM, T3/T4/ TSH, vitamin D3, serum B12 |
| Microbiology | Diseases caused by bacteria, viruses or fungi | Aerobic /AnAerobic bacteria, brucella, bordetella pertussis, bronchoalve- <br> olar, gram stain, streptococcus group A/B, helicobacter pylori, urine, stool |
| Molecular | Mainly genetic or heredity diseases | Chromosome analysis, chromosome analysis, chromosome profiling, <br> chromosome arrays, C Kit mutation detection, clostridium difficile, <br> $14 q 32.3$ by FISH, FISH -17p, FISH - MDS panel, FISH - leukemia multiple <br> myeloma panel etc |
| Radiology | Diagnose and treat diseases within body | X-ray radiography, ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), nuclear <br> medicine including positron emission tomography (PET), and magnetic <br> resonance imaging (MRI) |

## Devices used in diagnostics

Automated machines used for PATHOLOGY tests by organised players


Semi-automated or manual machines used for pathology tests by small- and medium-scale


## Devices used in RADIOLOGY testing



Indifferent pricing structure by both organised and unorganised players, despite intense competition

With over $85 \%$ of its population falling under the low-income class, India remains a very cost- and price-sensitive market in every business, including healthcare and diagnostics. Within diagnostics, reagents and kits are key cost components for every laboratory. The prices of tests in India are a function of economies of scale - in terms of the ability to spread overhead costs related to reagents, kits, reference labs, administrative, and marketing. Thus, led by (1) huge mass of patients,
(2) large underserved healthcare market and (3) huge scope of growth, pricing of diagnostic tests in India has always remained 1/4th of advanced market rates, and at a $50 \%$ discount to comparable markets such as Brazil.

Pricing of tests offered by diagnostic players plays an important role in gaining volumes for the company. Diagnostics industry follows three-tier pricing of tests including: (1) price per individual test, (2) price per profile of tests, and (3) price for packages. Since the reagent cost ( $\sim 30 \%$ of overall sales) is a major variable cost component for any diagnostic test, pricing of various tests depends

India diagnostic test prices are way below the global trend (USD)

on the reagents cost and the nature of the equipmentsupply arrangement the labs hold with the vendors.

## Test profiles provides additional cost savings compared to individual tests

In order to generate greater volume and improve the number of test per patients, diagnostic labs strategically

Intense competition in the industry will keep prices of tests or profile or package offered by the company under check
price the profiles (all test related to a specific disease) of tests and various packages (comprising tests related to multiple diseases or organs) at discounts to individual test prices. This is a win-win situation for both companies and patients. Through this, laboratories are able to utilise machines/reagents optimally, while the patients get lower prices.

The table below shows the savings that profiles provide over individual tests. Patients can save $25-30 \%$ in a basic profile, which falls under the regular category of biochemistry and hematology. In the high-end tests (selective, referral-based), cost savings (profiles vs. individuals) is much higher at 40-50\%. A diagnostics service provider can afford greater discounts on highend profiles and panels as these have comparatively low volumes and almost nil walk-in patients.

Test panels results in savings

| Biochemistry | Dr Lal Pathlab | Metropolis | Thyrocare | Standalone Labs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lipid Profile |  |  |  |  |
| Cholesterol | 170 | 250 | 80 |  |
| HDL | 200 | 250 | 80 |  |
| LDL | 270 | 300 | 135 |  |
| Triglycerides | 200 | 250 | 80 |  |
| Individual Test Total | 840 | 1050 | 375 |  |
| Lipid Profile | 750 | 675 | 210 | 500-800 |
| Discount in Profile | 11\% | 36\% | 44\% |  |
| Liver Function |  |  |  |  |
| SGOT | 170 | 200 | 105 |  |
| SGPT | 170 | 200 | 105 |  |
| Bilirubin | 220 | 260 | 210 |  |
| Protein | 200 | 275 | 105 |  |
| Alkaline Phosphatase | 190 | 200 | 105 |  |


| Individual Test Total | 950 | 1135 | 630 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liver Function | 800 | 1000 | 330 | 500-900 |
| Discount in Profile | 16\% | 12\% | 48\% |  |
| Kidney |  |  |  |  |
| Creatinine | 170 | 200 | 130 |  |
| Uric Acid | 140 | 200 | 120 |  |
| Protein | 200 | 275 | 130 |  |
| Albumin | 170 | 200 | 150 |  |
| Calcium | 140 | 200 | 120 |  |
| Individual Test Total | 820 | 1075 | 650 |  |
| Kidney | 800 | 850 | 630 | 1000-1100 |
| Discount in Profile | 2\% | 21\% | 3\% |  |
| Haemogram |  |  |  |  |
| Hemoglobin | 100 | 140 | 60 |  |
| PCV | 120 | 160 | 80 |  |
| TLC | 120 | 160 | 80 |  |
| Platelet Count | 50 | 200 | 50 |  |
| Automated DLC | 120 | 180 | 90 |  |
| Individual Test Total | 510 | 840 | 360 |  |
| Haemogram | 220 | 250 | 210 | 250-350 |
| Discount in Profile | 57\% | 70\% | 42\% |  |
| Immunology - TORCH Panel |  |  |  |  |
| IgA antibodies Test Serum | 330 | 650 | 600 |  |
| IgA antibodies Test Cardiolipin | 550 | 1300 | 520 |  |
| Rubella $\lg \mathrm{G}$ | 460 | 600 | 265 |  |
| Rubella $\lg \mathrm{M}$ | 460 | 600 | 265 |  |
| Cytomegalovirus IgM | 460 | 600 | 265 |  |
| Cytomegalovirus lgG | 460 | 600 | 265 |  |
| Herpes Simplex 1+2 IgG | 500 | 600 | 265 |  |
| Herpes Simplex $1+2 \mathrm{lg} \mathrm{M}$ | 500 | 600 | 265 |  |
| Individual Test Total | 3720 | 5550 | 2710 |  |
| TORCH Panel | 2250 | 2600 | 1730 | 2500-3500 |
| Discount in Profile | 40\% | 53\% | 36\% |  |

# With improving awareness about healthcare among the educated and because of increasing urbanisation, preventive and wellness packages have emerged as the fastest-growing segment in Indian diagnostics 

## Wellness packages offer better operating leverage

Mid- to large-sized diagnostic chains and hospital-based diagnostic centres are increasingly packaging and marketing their available test menu in the form of preventive and wellness test packages. With improving awareness about healthcare among the educated and because of increasing urbanisation, preventive and wellness packages have emerged as the fastest-growing segment in Indian diagnostics. Due to the multiple tests per patients under the packages, they optimize the reagent cost per patient and improve the realization per patient. As these tests offer better operating leverage, their pricing (packages) is at a steep discount to either individual tests or various profile tests.

While it is established that volume of tests handled by the labs are critical for pricing, surprisingly, there is no major difference in prices of organised players (who try to optimise costs through scale benefits, and tend to accordingly price low) and unorganised players (who largely offer comparable prices to large players by lowering their reagent cost by procuring from local vendors). At times, unorganized players charge more than larger chains, but retain their business mainly because of their strong hold in the area and particularly because of local doctors remaining loyal to them.

PACKAGES ARE AT A STEEP DISCOUNT TO EITHER INDIVIDUAL TESTS OR VARIOUS PROFILE TESTS

| Package offered | Thyrocare | Metropolis | Dr Lal Pathlab | Small labs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| BASIC PACKAGE | AAROGYAM A | BASIC PACKAGE | Complete Health Panel |  |
| Lipid Profile | 210 | 675 | 750 |  |
| Liver Function | 330 | 1000 | 800 |  |
| Kidney | 630 | 850 | 800 |  |
| Thyroid profile | 200 | 500 | 700 |  |
| Haemogram | 210 | 250 | 220 |  |
| Others | 500 | 4050 | 200 |  |
| Individual Profile Total | 2080 | 3475 | 2570 |  |
| PACKAGE price | 1200 | 2300 | 1649 | $1800-2000$ |
| Discount in package | $42 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $36 \%$ |  |


| Advance Health Package | AAROGYAM B | HEALTH TOTAL | COMPLETE BODY PANEL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Lipid Profile | 210 | 675 | 750 |
| Liver Function | 330 | 1000 | 800 |
| Kidney | 630 | 850 | 800 |
| Thyroid profile | 200 | 500 | 700 |
| Haemogram | 210 | 250 | 220 |
| Vitamin Profile | 1170 | 2550 | 2700 |
| Others | 200 | 2000 | 300 |
| Individual Profile Total | 2950 | 7825 | 6270 |
| PACKAGE price | 1700 | 6900 | 4575 |
| Discount in package | $42 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $27 \%$ |


| Diabetes Package | A1C DIABETIC | DIABETES RISK <br> ASSESSMENT | Basic Diabetes Package |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lipid Profile | 330 | 1000 | 800 |
| Liver Function | 630 | 850 | 800 |
| Kidney | 200 | 500 | 700 |
| Thyroid profile | 210 | 250 | 220 |
| Others | 500 | 800 | 800 |
| Individual Profile Total | 1870 | 3400 | 3320 |
| PACKAGE price | 1500 | 2750 | 2499 |

# PE investments in diagnostics - Just a valuation game, or will it lead to selfactualisation? 

In spite of visibly higher demand for healthcare services (including diagnostics), India's healthcare sector falls well below international benchmarks (in terms of physical infrastructure and manpower), and is below the standards of even comparable developing countries. In order to meet the growing demand for healthcare services, which require huge investment, contributions from private sector (foreign and domestic) were envisaged by private equity (PE) investors. In fact, private equity (PE) investments have always been perceived as a magic wand for the transformation of any under-developed industry into an advanced one with modern infrastructure. While growth and profitability remain primary goals of the PE industry, its contribution to economic development is undeniable.

As per Venture Intelligence, PE investments into the `healthcare and life sciences' sector in India touched a record high of US\$ 1.59bn in 2015 (across 50 deals), $22 \%$ higher than the previous high of US\$ 1.29 bn (across 60 deals) recorded in 2013, and a whopping $66 \%$ higher than the US\$ 957 mn (across 55 deals) in 2014. Over last five years, PE investment in India has seen $33 \%$ CAGR. India PE investments into diagnostics were $\sim 10 \%$ of total investments in healthcare and life sciences. Given the highly fragmented nature of the Indian diagnostics market (organised players hold only $15 \%$ market share), PE funding could play an instrumental role in overall growth of the domestic industry.

PE investments into the 'healthcare and life sciences' sector in India


Segment wise PE investments in 2015 (USD mn)


PE investments in diagnostics services in India


# Over the last five years, PE investments in India have seen 33\% CAGR; such investments into diagnostics were $\sim 10 \%$ of total investments in healthcare and life sciences 

Over last few years, organised players such as Metropolis, Dr Lal PathLabs, SRL, Thyrocare, Wellspring, and Vijay Diagnostics have received PE funding to the tune of Rs 28bn in multiple rounds. Supplemented by such funding, these chains really emerged from nowhere into prominence in just a few years, and their valuations multiplied. Few industry experts perceive this as a valuation game' - which has been the case in e-commerce up until now.


Ms Radhi Mehta, Vice-President, MDI Laboratories (one of the advanced diagnostic chains that have a collaboration with German Laboratories MDI) believes that the increasing price completion and simultaneous spike in equity valuations after rounds of PE funding is purely a valuation game.

However, a closer look at the details of PE funding into large diagnostic chains suggests that their valuation increased because of the expansion in their businesses after the funding. For example, Thyrocare's implied valuation increased $2.5 x$ over FY11-14 after it received funding from CX Partners (valued at Rs 6.27bn) in December 2010 and from Aditya Birla PE in February 2014 (at a valuation of Rs 16bn). During the same period, Thyrocare's revenue and profit multiplied $2 x$. Similarly, Dr Lal's implied valuation increased $1.5 x$

## PE investment in diagnostics

| PE Investor | Dt of Inv | Inv <br> (Rs Mn) | Stake <br> $\%$ | Co Valuation <br> (Rs Mn) | EV/EBITDA <br> $(\mathbf{x})$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Thyrocare Tech |  |  |  |  |  |
| CX Partners | Dec-10 | 630 | $28 \%$ | 6,270 | 17.6 |
| Samara Capital | Dec-10 | 38 | $2 \%$ | 6,270 | 17.6 |
| Norwest Venture Partners | Sep-12 | 1,200 | $10 \%$ | 12,000 | 18.9 |
| ICICI Bank | Oct-13 | 660 | $5 \%$ | 13,200 | 19.2 |
| Aditya Birla PE | Feb-14 | 1,250 | $8 \%$ | 16,000 | 22.3 |
| Dr Lal PathLabs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sequoia Capital (WestBridge) | Jun-05 | 420 | $26 \%$ | 1,610 |  |
| TAAssociates | Aug-10 | 1,630 | $16 \%$ | 10,000 | 17.7 |
| WestBridge | Feb-13 | 1,883 | $13 \%$ | 15,000 | 10.8 |
| TA Associates | Feb-13 | 484 | $3 \%$ | 15,000 | 10.8 |
| Metropolies |  |  |  |  |  |
| ICICI Venture Fund | May-06 | 350 | $27 \%$ | 1,296 |  |
| Warburg Pincus | Jun-10 | 3,920 | $27 \%$ | 14,519 | 32.2 |
| Promoter bought back (WP stake) | Apr-15 | 5,500 | $27 \%$ | 20,370 | 15.7 |
| Carlyle Group | Sep-15 | 9,000 | $37 \%$ | 24,324 | 18.8 |

SRL Diagnostics

| Avigo Capital Partners | Apr-11 | 1,000 | $9 \%$ | 10,787 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Sabre Partners | May-11 | 500 | $3 \%$ | 16,167 |
| Jacob Ballas | Mar-12 | 2,500 | NA | NA |
| IFC | Mar-12 | 1,200 | NA | NA |
| Fortis Healthcare | Sep-15 | 1,050 | $3 \%$ | 33,950 |

Suburban Diagnostics

| Sequoia Capital | Nov-12 | 400 | $30 \%$ | 1,333 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Wellspring

| Catamaran Ventures | Jun-11 | 146 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BlueShield Venture Partners | Jun-11 |  | NA | NA |
| Reliance Venture Asset | Jun-11 |  |  |  |
| Asian Healthcare Fund | Jun-13 | 100 | NA | NA |
| Catamaran Ventures | Sep-13 | 120 |  |  |
| BlueShield Venture Partners | Sep-13 |  | NA | NA |
| Vijaya Diagnostic |  |  |  |  |
| Kedaara Capital Advisors | Sep-16 | 3,500 | NA | NA |

## An increase in the number of comparable diagnostic healthcare facilities may exert additional pricing pressure on some or all diagnostics service providers

between two tranches of PE funding (TA Associates in FY11 and FY13) while its revenue and profits saw $1.9 x$ expansion in the same period.

## PEs to supplement rapid progress of the organised market

PE funding has provided financial flexibility to organised diagnostics chains for expanding their franchisee network into tier-2 and tier-3 cities, strengthening of processing capabilities (particularly in automating sample processing), and for brand creation. These together strengthened their scale and earnings efficiency vs. the unorganised market.

While the steady flow of investments into the Indian diagnostics industry strengthened its capability (in terms of technological advancement and automation), it also intensified competition in the industry meaningfully. The level of competition among organised chains is visible from their initiatives towards brand creation and in their promotional offers.

Thyrocare, whose business strategy is attaining scale benefits through extended geographical reach, has used print and digital media (both TV, Radio, and 3000 theatre screens across India) for creating awareness about its brand across the country through its advertising slogan - "Think Thyroid, Think Thyrocare". Similarly, in order to boost its key focus area of "preventive healthcare" (which so far was largely targeted at the educated urban mass), Thyrocare appropriately uses online advertising. This is evident from the fact that if one searches for Thyocare on Google, the results reflects its diagnostic package offerings first, its main website, and three of its nearest franchisees. This shows its branding focus. On the other hand, Metropolis and Dr Lal are relatively slow to advertise, and try to create brand equity among doctors through high-end test offerings (such as molecular tests).



A suburban diagnostics company tries to woo obese patients with higher discounts based on levels


Thyrocare and Healthspring promote their wellness package through discounts


SRL, largely backed by its hospital chain, seems to not be aggressive in promoting through offers


Unorganized players are not far behind in discount offerings


PET/CT
At:
Faridabad
(c) 011-40180000

Rates are valid till 30-Jun-2016.

Thyrocare (Nueclear) initiates disruption of the PET/CT pricing by offering 60\%+ discount (Rs 9,999/test); competition is all set to match this price

Many diagnostic chains use promotional offers for brand creation. An increase in the number of comparable diagnostic healthcare facilities may exert additional pricing pressure on some or all diagnostics service providers. However, such developments are more likely to supplement the transition of the Indian diagnostics industry to a systemic organized play more from an unorganized play. Thus, PE funding helps the Indian diagnostics industry to achieve its self-actualisation with quality services in a more organised manner.

About brand creation led by promotional offers, Ms Radhi Mehta, Vice-President, MDI Laboratories says, "Rather than discounts and promotional offers, it is the accuracy and authenticity of test reports as well as the ability of the lab to resolve the queries of referring doctors on test reports in a timely manner that play a important roles in brand building. "


# Ms Ameera Shah, Promoter and Managing Director, Metropolis Healthcare, shared her views on India's diagnostic industry - its trends and outlook. 

Metropolis is a Mumbai-based multinational chain of pathology centres. It is one of the leading pathology labs in India, delivering over 30mn tests a year, catering to more than 20,000 medical laboratories, hospitals, nursing homes, and 200,000 consultants. With 34 years of experience in delivering accurate reports, Metropolis is a trusted Indian brand.


#### Abstract

1. What are the ground realities for Indian diagnostics and how are they different from perception? Could you juxtapose this with 'known' facts such as size (~US\$ 6bn), annualised growth of 15-20\%, and transition to a regulated play?


The diagnostics industry is going through a time of colossal advancement in terms of inventive abilities and credibility. Utilisation of cutting-edge and front-line technology in the analysis of infectious diseases has encouraged and reinforced evidence-based treatment by doctors. In addition, noteworthy advancements at the demographics level have prompted people to become more concerned about their health and promoted preventive healthcare drastically. In this manner, the industry has created demand for medical tests at an expansive level.

Due to these reasons, the Indian diagnostics industry has seen a CAGR of $18 \%$ while advanced markets are developing in single digits. Our belief is that the domestic market will continue this growth momentum led by its core macro factors - a large underserved patient pool and visible advancements in terms of technology and demographics.
2. Do you believe that diagnostics is truly a local-area concept and that better brand can be created by focusing more on a particular area rather than spreading ones presence through franchisees?

In the diagnostics industry, franchising serves a unique purpose. In addition to branding, it helps create a network of patient-friendly services - and that is what we are doing today. Franchising allows us to be more versatile and helps monitor our resources. It also enables us to have centres in remotest of areas, making it possible for individuals to receive comprehensive facilities - laboratory, imaging investigations,
and other diagnostic facilities - all under one roof. This provides access to quality healthcare to the general population in even the most remote areas.

Having said that, we also totally believe that diagnostics is a local-area concept. Metropolis has a strong presence in south and west India and dominates these territories. This has been possible because of our decades of presence, superior service, and trust that we have earned as a brand.
3. Contrary to expectations of organized diagnostics overtaking the widespread unorganised market, the unorganized and non-accredited market actually seems to be growing faster due to its stronghold on local areas. How do you see the industry shaping up in the medium term?

The industry is largely fragmented - with 88-90\% dominated by unorganised players. There is considerable pricing difference for similar services. For example, an MRI can cost anywhere between Rs 1,000 and Rs 6,000, depending on the lab and its geography. However, for patients, it is a difficult choice because they are unable to assess the quality and the price. Only 1\% of the total diagnostic laboratories in India are accredited to NABL and CAP - the smaller and medium ones operate more like mom-and-pop shops in the absence of any regulatory standards and norms. There is an urgent need for enactment and control to raise and maintain quality levels of labs in India. Accreditation bodies have given guidelines (international standards), but only a fraction of the industry adheres to these. Unaccredited players are upsetting quality standards. If more players from the unorganised segment become accredited, it will be a positive development for the industry - because it will raise quality standards.

Today, the customer is more aware (knows that an accurate report is the very basic requirement for proper diagnosis) - and
is choosing an accredited centre over a neighbourhood lab. Regulation and awareness amongst patients is the way forward, and there will be an absolute shift towards regulated centres in the next five years.

> 4. 'Volume' seems to be a key success factor in the diagnostics business and for its key participants such as laboratories, collection centres, and vendors (device and reagent suppliers). Who enjoys maximum bargaining power?

Volume plays an important role in the profitability of a centre, but in our experience, quality directly contributes to volumes. Metropolis enjoys a good reputation for specialised and super-specialised tests and doctors and patients choose us for the quality and the accuracy we deliver. Vendors operate in a competitive market and laboratories enjoy bargaining power as vendors cater to only about $10 \%$ of the market.

## 5. What is your experience of diagnostics business presence in international markets. How is it different from the Indian business?

The international industry is highly fragmented, aggressive, and competitive - with several independent labs, physician's office labs, and hospital-based labs. Demand is connected to the quantity of individuals accepting medicinal treatment. Profitability of individual companies depends on efficient operations and good marketing. There are expansive economies of scale in operations of medical labs, which can receive samples from wide geographical regions. Small medical labs can compete and contend viably by effectively providing specialised investigations, or by serving geographical regions with few medical facilities.

The long-haul patterns are exceptionally good for diagnostics laboratories in international markets. All laboratories will profit from volume increases due to both population growth and ageing baby-boomers, an expansion in the quantity of tests per demand, and an increase in esoteric tests because of technological developments and the nascent trend towards customising treatments. Taken together, these trends will accelerate revenue growth beyond single digits.

## 6. What opportunities and challenges do you foresee for the Indian diagnostics industry?

The industry has enormous potential for development. There is not enough local competition in this sector due to high levels of technology integration between hardware, software, and chemistry. However, some of the challenges that the Indian industry faces includes high price of medical diagnostics equipment - most of the equipment is imported from western economies or Japan, and hence there is a high level of import taxation. Some other observations are:

- A majority of India's population lives in villages and do not have access to sophisticated medical diagnostics and medical care
- The central and state government health departments do not have the capability to fund acquisition of large purchases and do not make adequate investments in diagnostics
- Bureaucratic and policy delays make it quite difficult to get paid for purchases that have been made by the government
- A general perception exists that a lot of imported equipment (while it addresses critical disease identification) does not focus on more common ailments in rural areas in India. So, while there is a lot of functionality and science, is this what India needs?

We need to fund indigenous technology, forge more public-private partnerships, and focus on taking diagnostics to remote areas. Accurate diagnosis is the first step in timely and correct treatment. Point-of-contact diagnostics is a solution in this area. The government should play an active role as a facilitator of medical care rather than being a provider.

## 7. Tell us about Metropolis' achievements and aspirations. What is your growth outlook?

Metropolis has grown from a single centre in Mumbai to over 150 labs and more than 1000 collection centres in seven countries. It is the only laboratory to have marked a presence in the emerging markets of South Asia, Africa, and the Middle East. More importantly, we have earned a reputation as a trusted and highly regarded brand among doctors, hospitals, laboratories, and patients. Metropolis will continue to expand and grow in these markets.

## Diagnostics is a superior investment vs. hospitals, but size is limited



Although the Indian healthcare services industry, particularly hospitals, has come a long way (by building quality infrastructure, world-class services at affordable rates, and attracting global patients), its growth has always remained under check despite huge prevailing demand. The capital-intensive nature of the business, lower margins, and lesser flexibility to expand into small towns hinders the growth of the organised hospital industry.

However, the diagnostic industry does not suffer from many of these constraints. It has emerged as the fastest-growing segment of the Indian healthcare industry, supported by strong capital efficiency (because of higher margins and healthy asset turnover) and its flexibility in expanding to the country's interiors (sometimes even outside India) with a minimal capital spend. The conducive macro environment (under-penetration of diagnostics
services with lower tests per patients, ageing population, changing disease profile to chronic lifestyle-related illness, and more importantly, the transition of the Indian industry towards becoming organised) provides enough visibility for long-term sustainable profitable growth. Its operational and financial soundness makes the Indian diagnostic industry a superior investment avenue. However, the limitations of the Indian diagnostics industry as an investment avenue is its relatively smaller base compared to hospitals.

Its operational and financial soundness makes the Indian diagnostics industry a superior investment avenue

## Globally the diagnostics industry proved to be superior compared to the hospitals both operationally as well as financially

Likewise in other geographies, Indian diagnostic peers outperform the hospital industry both in terms of sales growth and earning efficiency.


Note:Green dots representdiagnosticpeers, red dots representhospitals,suffixesto company names indicate country
Globally, the asset efficiency of diagnostic industry is superior to that of hospital players, which is evident in the Indian industry with superlative performance by Dr Lal and Thyrocare.


Note:Greendots representdiagnosticpeers, red dots representhospitals, suffixesto company names indicate country

As far as secondary investments in Indian diagnostics are concerned, there are only limited options available including Dr Lal PathLabs, Thyrocare and Fortis Malar hospitals (SRL's diagnostic business is proposed to be regrouped as per Fortis Healthcare's business restructuring). Hence, led by visible long-term growth and limited investment options, these listed players will continue to enjoy premium valuations for long.

Because of the limited investment opportunity in the secondary market and fragmented nature of the Indian industry (larger share of unorganised players) the Indian diagnostic market will remain a hot investment destination for private equity and venture capital.


In this context, Mr Abhishek $\mathbf{P}$ Singh, Ex-Director, PWC says, "Although the Indian diagnostic market looks very lucrative as an investment destination for private equity and venture capital, there are limited quality investment avenues available. In its early stage of progress, the domestic industry will test all possible ways to expand -- either through PE/ VC funding or M\&As or partnerships or diversification into more complex tests."

Test offering capabilities of Indian leading players


SRL, Metropolis, and Dr Lal Pathlab have relatively larger coverage compared to Thyrocare in pathology tests. Thyrocare is not into high-end molecular tests. It sees rapid progress in PET-CT segment of radiology, but others have a presence only in the basic radiology services like $x$-ray, ultrasound, doppler test, etc.

| Price comparison of the leading players |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Price | Metropolis | Thyrocare | Dr Lal <br> Pathlab | SRL |
| Biochemistry | $150-200$ | $100-150$ | $150-200$ | $150-200$ |
| Hematology | $150-170$ | $80-100$ | $150-170$ | $150-170$ |
| Immunology | $550-600$ | $350-400$ | $400-450$ | $550-600$ |
| Microbiology | $800-1000$ | $600-700$ | $500-550$ | $800-1000$ |
| Molecular | $5000-65000$ |  | $5000-75000$ | $5000-75000$ |
| Radiology | $5000-50000$ | $9000-10000$ | $5000-50000$ | $5000-50000$ |

Only limited options available including Dr Lal PathLabs, Thyrocare and Fortis Malar hospitals (SRL's diagnostics business is proposed to be regrouped as per Fortis Healthcare's business restructuring). Hence, led by visible long-term growth and limited investment options, these listed players will continue to enjoy premium valuations for long

## All the larger diagnostics peers are adequately accredited by NABL

| Accreditation | Metropolis | Thyrocare | Dr Lal <br> Pathlab | SRL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| College of American <br> Pathologist Accreditation | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| NABL | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| British Standards Institu- <br> tion (BSI) | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| ISO | YES | YES | YES | YES |

South African National Accreditation System

Leveraging NABL's mutual recognition arrangements (MRA) with the international bodies like International Laboratory Accreditation Co-operation (ILAC) and Asia Pacific Laboratory Accreditation Co-operation (APLAC), Indian diagnostic peers expanded their geographical reach in various emerging markets. In fact, NABL's MRA facilitate acceptance of test/ calibration results between partner countries.

| Global presence |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metropolis | Thyrocare | Dr Lal Pathlab | SRL |
| UAE, <br> Sri Lanka, South Africa, Kenya, Mauritius, Ghana | Nepal, <br> Bangladesh, <br> Gulf | Oman, <br> Saudi Arabia, <br> Kuwait, Nepal, <br> Malaysia, <br> Bangladesh, <br> Qatar | Dubai, <br> Sri Lanka, <br> Nepal, Africa, <br> Middle-East, <br> Hong Kong, <br> Maldives <br> and SAARC <br> countries |

Dr Lal Pathlabs offers maximum number of test and its realisation per test is highest compared to its diagnostic chain peers. Metropolis' average realisation per test seems to be at the lower end, despite its presence in high-end tests

QUALITATIVE POSITIONING OF INDIAN DIAGNOSTIC PEERS

|  | Test <br> offered | Test processed <br> $(\mathrm{mn})$ | Realisation <br> Per test (Rs) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SRL | 3800 | 33 | 276 |
| Dr Lal PathLabs | 4600 | 26 | 306 |
| Thyrocare | 135 | 12 | 206 |
| Metropolis | 4500 | 30 | 198 |

Dr Lal Pathlabs is the fastest-growing player and has the strongest capital efficiency; Thyrocare is not far behind in terms of growth and enjoys the highest margin amongst peers

EBITDA \& Sales CAGR




Q - We have seen that opening of new malls has slowed down significantly in large metros like Mumbai and Delhi. How do you plan to expand in existing cities in this context?

It is not that new mall activity has suddenly slowed down; the situation has been the same over the last 18-24 months. In fact, we are opening about 60-65 screens a year - which is about 10 properties - not much for a country of India's size. But, we expect the pace to pick up soon because it cannot get slower than this. For example, we are opening new projects in Mumbai, Pune, and Delhi in the next two years. Similarly, Bangalore is seeing lot of action. Even Hyderabad is buzzing because of many L\&T projects across the metro-rail corridor. There are opportunities in existing cities.

## Q - People are increasingly using smart phones and accessing torrents - has this impacted footfalls, especially in more mature markets such as Mumbai and Delhi?

Actually, Delhi and Mumbai saw huge growth - not only in ticket pricing but also in terms of volumes. We have experienced that as the disposable income increases, spending on entertainment moves up. This is going to continue over the next decade at least. People who were earlier watching movies exclusively in multiplexes are now watching content
across multiple devices. But this has not stopped them from going to multiplexes, because while earlier entertainment was an aspiration product, now it has become more of an outdoor home entertainment lifestyle product - just like restaurants. It is more of a family experience. Having said that, 4 G rates are dropping and broadband speed is increasing, so you will see a lot of promotions around films through channels such as YouTube and this might whet consumers' appetite to go out and watch the actual film.

Q - In tier 2-3 cities, where opening a new mall is a relatively new phenomenon, there is usually a pickup in footfalls in the first 9-12 months after which comes a sharp fall. How do you approach this problem?

We have not had such an experience in the tier-2 markets ever. In fact, these markets continue to do very well, because our key focus is choosing the right location and right shopping mall. That is the biggest differentiator between PVR and most other operators - in fact, this year, we are scheduled to open in Mysore, Baroda, and Muradabad; every year, we are adding 3-4 new cities. However, we will not open just because there is an opportunity we also look into the fundamentals of the business.

Q - This question is in view of your expansion plans in south India - how are you dealing with the constraints of ticket prices in Tamil Nadu being generally capped at Rs 120. Does this market still work for you?

It works out because lower ticket prices are offset by higher occupancy. However, in the long run, it will not work simply because you cannot keep delivering the product at that price. We are hoping that as the market matures, some of this restriction will go away. We are already seeing the market moving in a positive direction. The government also seems to have matured and realises that it cannot regulate pricing in a discretionary product such as entertainment. We hope that once GST comes into play, the industry would become bigger and more organised, and that some of the political agendas would be set aside - so we are hoping things will only improve.

Q - We have seen challenges from local content in the last 2-3 years. Has this impacted foot-falls for the exhibition industry?

India makes the most number of movies in the world. But the challenge is - quality of content. Sometimes, filmmakers are aligned with consumer behaviour and taste, but other times they aren't able to adopt. The problem is that we do not have good quality content, but as more money is available to the industry, hopefully, quality will improve. We see studios losing money and not doing well, but this is a good sign because it will cause the industry to evolve and push filmmakers to make better quality content.

## Q - How do you cope in terms of lost revenue or lost opportunity when movies do not work as planned, especially from day- 1 itself?

Typically, we have a week-long contract and we have to live with that. After this, we can make changes, but for large screens such as PVR, we work with the distributors and producers actively. If we have a situation like this (where we realize that the film isn't going to work from day 1) we go back to our raw material supplier and request them for relevant changes - within this, we reduce shows (or increase shows if the film does well). But yes, we carry a one-week risk on the performance of the film, after which we can reprogram the theatre.

## Q - Piracy is one of the major risks inherent in the exhibition industry. What are the steps taken by multiplex groups to stop piracy?

As a cinema chain, we are actively working with the Multiplex Association of India and Producers Association of India to make anti-piracy laws far tougher and for increasing the focus on security and awareness. It is a constant struggle and we are actively lobbying with the government.

## Q - What are your key focus areas for growth?

India is a very under-screened country and currently our presence is in only 48 cities. Observing movie-going habits in India, we believe that we have the potential to reach 100 cities - in the next 5-6 years, we will be expanding our presence. Our second focus will be to increase penetration in some of the existing cities because the screen density there is very low. Our third focus is to open more and more multiplexes in south India, as it provides a similar size and opportunity to the Hindi film industry, but it is still un-der-penetrated compared to the rest of the country.

## Q - There have been many M\&As in the exhibition side of the film industry in the last 4-5 years; so much so, that only four large serious players are now left in this business. In this light, what future M\&A opportunities do you see?

One of the biggest reasons for M\&As in the exhibition side has been that multiplexes are a fairly new phenomena in India. Since these only developed in the last decade or so, a lot of players entered into this space with no experience in running and managing operations and like many other industries, this led to consolidation in the last 4-5 years. The global cinema space is usually dominated by 3-4 large operators, which control $80 \%$ of the market - the rest is with smaller operators. What has happened in India, although quite early, is similar to what happened across other countries over a period. I think some M\&As may still happen because there are small fringe operators left; but yes, I don't see big M\&A opportunities remaining.

## Indian Economy - Trend Indicators

Monthly Economic Indicators

| Growth Rates (\%) | Sep-15 | Oct-15 | Nov-15 | Dec-15 | Jan-16 | Feb-16 | Mar-16 | Apr-16 | May-16 | Jun-16 | Jul-16 | Aug-16 | Sep-16 | Oct-16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IIP | 3.7 | 9.9 | -3.4 | -0.9 | -1.5 | 2.0 | 0.3 | -1.3 | 1.3 | 2.2 | -2.5 | -0.7 | 0.7 |  |
| PMI | 51.2 | 50.7 | 50.3 | 49.1 | 51.1 | 51.1 | 52.4 | 50.5 | 50.7 | 51.7 | 51.8 | 52.6 | 52.1 | 54.4 |
| Core sector | 3.7 | 3.2 | -1.3 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 5.0 |  |
| WPI | -4.6 | -3.7 | -2.0 | -0.7 | -0.9 | -1.0 | -0.9 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| CPI | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| Money Supply | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 12.1 | 10.9 |
| Deposit | 10.6 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 11.3 | 9.8 |
| Credit | 7.1 | 8.8 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 9.2 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 11.2 | 8.5 |
| Exports | -24.2 | -17.1 | -24.4 | -14.7 | -13.6 | -5.7 | -5.5 | -6.7 | -0.8 | 1.3 | -6.8 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 9.6 |
| Imports | -26.1 | -21.1 | -30.3 | -3.9 | -11.0 | -5.0 | -21.6 | -23.1 | -13.2 | -7.3 | -19.0 | -13.5 | -2.5 | 8.1 |
| Trade deficit ${ }^{\text {USS }{ }^{\text {b }} \text { ) }}$ | -10.2 | -9.7 | -9.8 | -11.7 | -7.6 | -6.5 | -5.1 | -4.8 | -6.3 | -8.1 | -7.8 | -7.7 | -8.3 | -10.2 |
| Net FDI (USD Bn) | 2.8 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.9 | - |  |
| FIII (SSD Bn) $^{\text {a }}$ | -2.4 | 4.5 | -3.8 | -2.6 | -1.5 | -2.4 | 4.3 | 1.1 | -0.4 | -0.2 | 2.7 | 1.0 | - |  |
| ECB ${ }^{\left(\text {USD }{ }^{\text {n }} \text { ) }\right.}$ | 2.6 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 3.2 | - |  |
| Dollar-Rupee | 65.6 | 65.3 | 66.7 | 66.2 | 67.8 | 68.4 | 66.2 | 66.3 | 67.3 | 67.5 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 66.6 | 66.8 |
| FOREX Reserves (USD B B ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 350.0 | 353.6 | 351.6 | 352.1 | 349.2 | 346.8 | 355.6 | 361.6 | 360.9 | 360.8 | 365.5 | 366.8 | 370.8 |  |

## Quarterly Economic Indicators

| Balance of Payment ${ }^{\text {(USD Bn) }}$ | Q1FY15 | Q2FY15 | Q3FY15 | Q4FY15 | Q1FY16 | Q2FY16 | Q3FY16 | Q4FY16 | Q1FY17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exports | 81.7 | 85.3 | 79.0 | 70.8 | 68.0 | 67.6 | 64.9 | 65.8 | 66.6 |
| Imports | 116.3 | 123.9 | 118.3 | 102.5 | 102.2 | 104.7 | 98.9 | 90.6 | 90.4 |
| Trade deficit | -34.6 | -38.6 | -39.3 | -31.7 | -34.2 | -37.2 | -34.0 | -24.8 | -23.8 |
| Net Invisibles | 26.7 | 28.5 | 30.9 | 30.2 | 28.0 | 28.6 | 26.9 | 24.4 | 23.5 |
| CAD | -7.9 | -10.1 | -8.4 | -1.5 | -6.1 | -8.6 | -7.1 | -0.3 | -0.3 |
| CAD (\% of GDP) | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Capital Account | 19.2 | 16.5 | 23.6 | 30.7 | 18.6 | 8.1 | 10.9 | 3.5 | 7.1 |
| BoP | 11.2 | 6.9 | 13.2 | 30.1 | 11.4 | -0.9 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 7.0 |


| GDP and its Components (Yor, \%) | Q2FY15 | 03FY15 | Q4FY15 | Q1FY16 | Q2FY16 | 03FY16 | 04FY16 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Q1FY17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture \& allied activities | 2.8 | -2.4 | -1.7 | 2.5 | 2.0 | -1.0 | 2.3 |
| Industry | 6.2 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 8.5 | 10.3 | 9.2 |
| Mining \& Quarrying | 7.0 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 8.6 |
| Manufacturing | 5.8 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 11.5 | 9.3 |
| Electricity, Gas \& Water Supply | 8.8 | 8.8 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 9.3 |
| Services | 9.9 | 11.7 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 8.1 |  |
| Construction | 5.3 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 8.1 |
| Trade, Hotel, Transport and Communications | 8.4 | 6.2 | 13.1 | 10.0 | 6.7 | 9.5 | 8.4 |
| Finance, Insurance, Real Estate \& Business Services | 12.7 | 12.1 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 11.9 | 10.5 | 9.9 |
| Community, Social \& Personal Services | 10.3 | 25.3 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.4 |
| GDP at FC | 8.1 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 7.4 |

## Annual Economic Indicators and Forecasts

| Indicators | Units | FY9 | FY10 | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 | FY16E | FY17E | FY18E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real GDP/GVA growth | \% | 6.7 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 7.4 |
| Agriculture | \% | 0.1 | 0.8 | 8.6 | 5 | 1.2 | 4.3 | -0.2 | 1.2 | 4 | 3 |
| Industry | \% | 4.1 | 10.2 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 6.5 | 8.8 | 5.5 | 10.6 |
| Services | \% | 9.4 | 10 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 6 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 7.4 |
| Real GDP | Rs Bn | 41587 | 45161 | 49185 | 52475 | 54821 | 90844 | 97275 | 104272 | 111362 | 119603 |
| Real GDP | US\$ Bn | 908 | 953 | 1079 | 1096 | 1008 | 1503 | 1595 | 1604 | 1662 | 1772 |
| Nominal GDP | Rs Bn | 56301 | 64778 | 77841 | 90097 | 101133 | 112728 | 124882 | 135762 | 150594 | 168176 |
| Nominal GDP | US\$ Bn | 1229 | 1367 | 1707 | 1881 | 1859 | 1864 | 2047 | 2089 | 2248 | 2491 |
| WPI (Average) | \% | 8.1 | 3.8 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 7.4 | 6 | 2 | -2.5 | 3 | 3 |
| CPI (Average) |  | 9 | 12.4 | 10.4 | 8.3 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4 |
| Money Supply | \% | 20.5 | 19.2 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 12 | 10.3 | 11 | 11.5 |
| CRR | \% | 5 | 5.75 | 6 | 4.75 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Repo rate | \% | 5 | 5 | 6.75 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 8 | 7.5 | 6.75 | 5.75 | 5.25-5.5 |
| Reverse repo rate | \% | 3.5 | 3.5 | 5.75 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 7 | 6.5 | 5.75 | 5.25 | 4.75-5 |
| Bank Deposit growth | \% | 19.9 | 17.2 | 15.9 | 13.5 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 12.1 | 9.7 | 14 | 8 |
| Bank Credit growth | \% | 17.5 | 16.9 | 21.5 | 17 | 14.1 | 13.5 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 8 | 9 |
| Centre Fiscal Deficit | Rs Bn | 3370 | 4140 | 3736 | 5160 | 5209 | 5245 | 5107 | 5351 | 5339 | 5045 |
| Centre Fiscal Deficit | \% of GDP | 6 | 6.4 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3 |
| State Fiscal Deficit | \% of GDP | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Consolidted Fiscal Deficit | \% of GDP | 8.4 | 9.3 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.8-6 |
| Exports | US\$ Bn | 189 | 182.4 | 251.1 | 309.8 | 306.6 | 318.6 | 316.7 | 266.4 | 275.7 | 279.8 |
| YoY Growth | \% | 13.7 | -3.5 | 37.6 | 23.4 | -1 | 3.9 | -0.6 | -15.9 | 3.5 | 1.5 |
| Imports | US\$ Bn | 308.5 | 300.6 | 381.1 | 499.5 | 502.2 | 466.2 | 460.9 | 396.4 | 392.5 | 412.1 |
| YoY Growth | \% | 19.7 | -2.5 | 26.7 | 31.1 | 0.5 | -7.2 | -1.1 | -14 | -1 | 5 |
| Trade Balance | US\$ Bn | -119.5 | -118.2 | -129.9 | -189.8 | -195.6 | -147.6 | -144.2 | -130.1 | -116.8 | -132.3 |
| Net Invisibles | US\$ Bn | 91.6 | 80 | 84.6 | 111.6 | 107.5 | 115.2 | 116.2 | 107.9 | 102.9 | 106.5 |
| Current Account Deficit | US\$ Bn | -27.9 | -38.2 | -45.3 | -78.2 | -88.2 | -32.4 | -27.9 | -22.2 | -13.9 | -25.8 |
| CAD (\% of GDP) | \% | -2.3 | -2.8 | -2.6 | -4.2 | -4.7 | -1.7 | -1.4 | -1.1 | -0.6 | -1 |
| Capital Account Balance | US\$ Bn | 7.8 | 51.6 | 62 | 67.8 | 89.3 | 48.8 | 90 | 41.1 | 39 | 63.4 |
| Dollar-Rupee (Average) |  | 45.8 | 47.4 | 45.6 | 47.9 | 54.4 | 60.5 | 61.2 | 65.5 | 67 | 67.5 |

Source: RBI, CSO, CGA, Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of commerce, Bloomberg, PhillipCapital India Research
PhillipCapital India Coverage Universe：Valuation Summary

|  |  | CMP | Mkt Cap | Net Sales（Rs mn） |  | EBIDTA（Rs mn） |  | PAT（Rs mn） |  | EPS（RS） |  | EPS Growth（\％） |  | P／E（x） |  | $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{x})$ |  | EVIEBITDA（ x ） |  | ROE（\％） |  | ROCE（\％） |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of company | Sector | Rs | Rs mn | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17e | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E |
| Tata Motors | Autombiles | 460 | 1，48，952 | 2，778，330 | 3，195，336 | 446，700 | 562，265 | 156，757 | 230，352 | 49 | 72 | 41.8 | 46.9 | 9.4 | 6.4 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 16.5 | 19.9 | 8.6 | 11.2 |
| Bharat orge | Autombiles | 901 | 209，829 | 72，614 | 80，294 | 13，685 | 15，935 | 6，242 | 8，008 | 27 | 34 | 4.7 | 28.3 | 33.6 | 26.2 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 16.5 | 13.8 | 15.8 | 17.8 | 12.1 | 14.2 |
| Mahindra M Maindra | Automobiles | 1，186 | 736，522 | 443，257 | 97，442 | 58，953 | 66，906 | 36，700 | 42，038 | 62 | 71 | 11.5 | 14.5 | 19.1 | 16.7 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 12.4 | 10.8 | 14.7 | 15.1 | 12.7 | 13.4 |
| Ashok Leyland | Automobiles | 80 | 227，386 | 4，973 | 52，94 | 24，432 | 28，655 | 13，514 | 16，763 | 5 | 6 | 21.6 | 24.0 | 16.8 | 13.6 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 17.1 | 18.5 |
| Apollo Tyres | Automobiles | 184 | 93，711 | 31，251 | 43，714 | 21，052 | 23，156 | 11，091 | 12，176 | 22 | 24 | 6.1 | 9.8 | 8.4 | 7.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 15.4 | 14.7 | 11.7 | 11.3 |
| Maruti Suzuki | Automobiles | 5，088 | 1，537，029 | 667，073 | 760，102 | 108，847 | 121，988 | 72，498 | 84，503 | 240 | 280 | 58.6 | 16.6 | 21.2 | 18.2 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 14.0 | 12.4 | 22.1 | 21.2 | 22.3 | 21.7 |
| Mahinda CIE | Automobiles | 184 | 69，662 | 54，170 | 66，956 | 6，497 | 9，384 | 3，147 | 5，040 | 10 | 13 | 90.4 | 37.1 | 18.9 | 13.8 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 11.2 | 7.2 | 14.0 | 13.7 | 12.1 | 21.6 |
| Bajij Auto | Automobiles | 2，664 | 770，917 | 255，269 | 300，115 | 53，960 | 63，930 | 43，406 | 51，405 | 150 | 178 | 18.8 | 18.4 | 17.8 | 15.0 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 13.8 | 11.1 | 29.1 | 28.1 | 30.3 | 29.6 |
| Hero Motocorp | Automobiles | 3，163 | 631，661 | 314，421 | 363，560 | 53，33 | 59，632 | 38，093 | 42，785 | 191 | 214 | 21.6 | 12.3 | 16.6 | 14.8 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 11.8 | 10.4 | 38.6 | 35.0 | 37.9 | 35.1 |
| Escorts ld | Automobiles | 320 | 39，261 | 39，725 | 45，855 | 3，187 | 4，476 | 2，243 | 2，972 | 19 | 25 | 115.9 | 32.5 | 17.0 | 12.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 12.2 | 8.2 | 11.1 | 13.0 | 7.7 | 12.2 |
| Ceat Ld | Autombiles | 1，218 | 49，276 | 60，151 | 70，844 | 7，784 | 9，567 | 4，294 | 5，583 | 105 | 137 | －6．2 | 30.0 | 11.5 | 8.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 17.7 | 19.1 | 4 | 8.9 |
| Cummins India | Capital Goods | 776 | 215，107 | 49，688 | 56，007 | 8，241 | 9，789 | 7，576 | 8，806 | 27 | 32 | 0.4 | 16.2 | 28.4 | 24.4 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 26.2 | 21.9 | 20.1 | 21.2 | 19.4 | 4 |
| Engineers India | Capital Goods | 293 | 98，824 | 14，797 | 17，487 | 2，813 | 3，396 | 3，257 | 3，602 | 10 | 11 | 28.2 | 10.6 | 30.3 | 27.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 25.5 | 21. | 11.9 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 14.1 |
| Siemens | Capital Goods | 1，086 | 386，853 | 113，677 | 114，685 | 11，405 | 12，999 | 6，699 | 8，025 | 19 | 23 | 15.7 | 19.8 | 57.7 | 48.2 | 7.1 | 5.7 | 31.4 | 26.5 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 9.6 | 39.7 |
| Crompton Greaves | Capital Goods | 74 | 46，254 | 60，489 | 65,839 | 5，474 | 6，348 | 1，933 | 2，727 | 3 | 4 | 62.0 | 41.1 | 23.9 | 17.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 5.0 |
| VATech Wabag | Capital Goods | 500 | 27，243 | 32，032 | 38，052 | 2，750 | 3，336 | 1，409 | 1，775 | 26 | 32 | 58.5 | 26.0 | 19.4 | 15.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 9.9 | 7.8 | 12.9 | 14.6 | 9.9 | 11.1 |
| Volas | Capital Goods | 308 | 101，879 | 67，924 | 77，522 | 6，028 | 6，988 | 4，556 | 5，208 | 14 | 16 | 43.9 | 14.3 | 22.4 | 19.6 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 16.7 | 14.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 6 | 16.7 |
| BHEL | Capital Goods | 129 | 316，230 | 285，773 | 319，103 | 14，555 | 29，303 | 9，854 | 19，409 | 4 | 8 | n．a． | 97.0 | 32.1 | 16.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 14.7 | 7.2 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 4.2 |
| ABB India | Capital Goods | 1，052 | 222，822 | 88，400 | 102，059 | 7，755 | 10，029 | 3，730 | 5，155 | 18 | 24 | 15.2 | 38.2 | 59.7 | 43.2 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 28.2 | 21.5 | 11.6 | 14.7 | 10.4 | 12.7 |
| Larsen 8 Toubro | Capital Goods | 1，352 | 1，260，494 | 1，142，337 | 1，287，758 | 137，710 | 162，555 | 53，352 | 61，534 | 57 | 66 | 16.9 | 15.3 | 23.6 | 20.5 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 16.5 | 14.0 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 4.6 | 5.2 |
| KEC Interational | Capital Goods | 143 | 36，725 | 91，633 | 97，479 | 7，703 | 8，614 | 2，463 | 2，959 | 10 | 12 | 9.1 | 20.2 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 14.4 | 15.1 | 9.8 | 10.4 |
| Thermax | Capital Goods | 825 | 98，244 | 49，096 | 54，434 | 4，254 | 4，900 | 2，867 | 3，319 | 24 | 28 | 4.1 | 15.8 | 34.3 | 29.6 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 22.7 | 19.3 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 10.0 | 11.1 |
| Inox Wind | Capital Goods | 186 | 41，299 | 50，023 | 50，119 | 7，784 | 7，735 | 4，565 | 4，493 | 21 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 20.8 | 17.7 | 14.9 | 13.5 |
| Dalmia Bharat ttd | Cement | 1，652 | 146，674 | 81，114 | 84，727 | 20，304 | 23，089 | 5，205 | 5，600 | 59 | 63 | 172.5 | 7.6 | 28.2 | 26.2 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 10.2 | 8.8 | 13.8 | 15.1 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
| Shree Cement | Cement | 15594 | 543，236 | 90，772 | 98，584 | 31，833 | 35，748 | 18，381 | 20，311 | 528 | 583 | 203.1 | 10.5 | 29.6 | 26.7 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 16.5 | 14.3 | 24.0 | 21.9 | 23.2 | 23.1 |
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Shree Cement Cement
PhillipCapital India Coverage Universe: Valuation Summary

| Name of company | Sector | CMP <br> Rs | Mkt CapRs mn | Net Sales (Rs mn) |  | EBIDTA (Rs mn) |  | PAT(Rs mn) |  | EPS (Rs) |  | EPS Growth (\%) |  | $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x})$ |  | P/B (x) |  | EV/EBITDA ( x ) |  | ROE (\%) |  | ROCE (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E |
| Mangalam Cement | Cement | 264 | 7,042 | 9,475 | 9,874 | 1,296 | 1,551 | 372 | 617 | 14 | 23 | n.a. | 65.9 | 18.9 | 11.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 10.8 | 6.1 | 8.1 |
| OCLIndia | Cement | 817 | 46,487 | 29,529 | 33,165 | 5,857 | 6,592 | 3,224 | 3,721 | 57 | 65 | 57.5 | 15.4 | 14.4 | 12.5 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 19.5 | 19.3 | 17.5 | 20.6 |
| JK Lakshmi Cement | Cement | 375 | 44,132 | 31,203 | 36,664 | 4,797 | 6,257 | 751 | 1,817 | 6 | 15 | 221.9 | 142.1 | 58.8 | 24.3 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 13.0 | 9.4 | 5.3 | 11.7 | 6.3 | 9.2 |
| JK Cement | Cement | 733 | 51,257 | 37,763 | 45,175 | 6,005 | 8,230 | 1,503 | 2,999 | 21 | 43 | 137.1 | 99.5 | 34.1 | 17.1 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 12.9 | 9.3 | 8.9 | 16.3 | 6.4 | 9.1 |
| HeidelbergCement | Cement | 114 | 25,811 | 18,694 | 20,437 | 2,904 | 3,631 | 1,020 | 1,554 | 4 | 7 | 163.8 | 52.4 | 25.3 | 16.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 11.0 | 8.1 | 10.2 | 13.5 | 8.3 | 10.3 |
| India Cement | Cement | 117 | 35,940 | 60,035 | 66,592 | 10,226 | 11,472 | 2,601 | 3,949 | 8 | 13 | 80.1 | 51.8 | 13.8 | 9.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 5.8 | 4.8 | 7.3 | 10.4 | 7.5 | 9.3 |
| Ambuja Cement | Cement | 205 | 407,157 | 98,754 | 108,682 | 17,340 | 21,077 | 10,163 | 12,052 | 6 | 6 | 10.3 | 5.6 | 35.7 | 33.8 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 22.7 | 18.5 | 12.8 | 14.3 | 9.9 | 12.7 |
| ACC | Cement | 1,320 | 247,879 | 126,246 | 140,981 | 15,917 | 18,630 | 9,415 | 9,893 | 50 | 53 | 25.2 | 5.1 | 26.4 | 25.1 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 15.0 | 12.9 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 9.7 | 9.7 |
| Ultratech Cement | Cement | 3,536 | 970,481 | 289,472 | 361,256 | 61,865 | 80,231 | 34,527 | 38,577 | 126 | 141 | 51.0 | 11.7 | 28.1 | 25.2 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 16.0 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 11.4 | 10.6 |
| Havells India Ltd | ELECTRICALS | 344 | 214,732 | 62,253 | 71,739 | 8,779 | 9,912 | 6,240 | 6,953 | 10 | 11 | 21.7 | 11.4 | 34.4 | 30.9 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 22.9 | 20.1 | 21.6 | 21.9 | 19.2 | 19.8 |
| Finolex Cables Ltd | ELECTRICALS | 407 | 62,315 | 25,780 | 28,026 | 4,037 | 4,573 | 3,229 | 3,398 | 21 | 22 | 69.3 | 5.2 | 19.3 | 18.3 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 14.6 | 12.3 | 18.6 | 16.8 | 19.1 | 17.3 |
| VGuard Industries Ltd | ELECTRICALS | 171 | 51,401 | 21,454 | 24,841 | 2,307 | 2,736 | 1,550 | 1,889 | 5 | 6 | 38.8 | 23.2 | 33.3 | 27.0 | 8.7 | 6.9 | 22.3 | 18.6 | 26.2 | 25.6 | 26.7 | 26.4 |
| KEI Industries | ELECTRICALS | 127 | 9,790 | 25,741 | 28,654 | 2,740 | 3,129 | 2,460 | 2,830 | 32 | 37 | 13.4 | 15.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 55.4 | 51.2 | 26.9 | 29.2 |
| Bajaj Electricals Ltd | ELECTRICALS | 217 | 21,882 | 46,946 | 50,568 | 2,605 | 2,989 | 1,069 | 1,284 | 11 | 13 | 11.8 | 20.0 | 20.4 | 17.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 10.3 | 8.8 | 12.7 | 13.5 | 10.6 | 11.1 |
| LIC Housing Finance | Financials | 545 | 274,814 | 143,985 | 165,008 | 32,929 | 37,899 | 19,638 | 23,422 | 39 | 46 | 18.2 | 19.3 | 14.0 | 11.7 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 19.7 | 20.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| DCB Bank | Financials | 109 | 31,136 | 7,681 |  | 3,210 |  | 1,298 |  | 5 |  | -20.0 |  | 23.8 |  | 1.8 | 1.8 | 9.7 |  | 7.4 |  | 0.6 |  |
| Indusind Bank | Financials | 1,060 | 631,547 | 54,526 | 66,136 | 50,352 | 61,041 | 26,948 | 32,677 | 46 | 55 | 18.3 | 21.3 | 23.2 | 19.1 | 3.3 |  | 12.5 | 10.3 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Repco Home Finance | Financials | 600 | 37,528 | 10,987 | 13,963 | 3,196 | 4,116 | 1,901 | 2,435 | 30 | 39 | 27.0 | 27.6 | 19.8 | 15.5 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 15.2 | 12.5 | 18.4 | 19.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Punjab National Bank | Financials | 136 | 289,616 | 195,620 |  | 140,053 |  | 43,195 |  | 105 |  | 31.7 |  | 1.3 |  | 0.8 |  | 2.1 |  | 9.8 | . | 0.6 |  |
| Bank of India | Financials | 116 | 122,819 | 127,049 | 142,825 | 74,176 | 82,988 | 11,076 | 31,558 | 12 | 30 | -129.6 | 148.5 | 9.8 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 9.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Corporation bank | Financials | 45 | 51,331 | 49,080 | 55,839 | 36,350 | 41,425 | 2,648 | 8,250 | 11 | 31 | -218.0 | 178.3 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 0.1 |  | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Bank of Baroda | Financials | 162 | 372,122 | 144,969 | 175,604 | 103,271 | 130,622 | 35,224 | 54,237 | 15 | 23 | -327.4 | 54.0 | 10.6 | 6.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 8.8 | 12.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| State Bank of India | Financials | 253 | 1,961,654 | 865,203 |  | 504,629 |  | 145,077 |  | 181 | 241 | 10.6 |  | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 |  | 3.9 |  | 7.6 | 9.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| Union Bank | Financials | 149 | 102,601 | 90,635 | 103,348 | 63,774 | 71,739 | 11,365 | 20,929 | 15 | 28 | -19.4 | 84.1 | 10.0 | 5.4 | 0.6 |  | 1.6 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Canara Bank | Financials | 311 | 168,653 | 99,117 | 112,933 | 71,508 | 80,121 | 14,946 | 22,135 | 28 | 39 | 41.2 | 40.7 | 11.1 | 7.9 | 0.7 | 269.3 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

PhillipCapital India Coverage Universe: Valuation Summary

| Name of company | Sector | $\frac{\text { CMP }}{\text { Rs }}$ | Mkt Cap <br> Rs mn | Net Sales (Rs mn) |  | EBIDTA (Rs mn) |  | PAT (Rs mn) |  | EPS (Rs) |  | EPS Growth (\%) |  | P/E (x) |  | P/B (x) |  | EV/EBITDA ( x ) |  | ROE (\%) |  | ROCE (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E |
| Indian Bank | Financials | 241 | 115,630 | 48,420 | 55,553 | 33,215 | 37,766 | 9,103 | 13,435 | 19 | 26 | 23.3 | 39.5 | 12.7 | 9.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 9.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Oriental Bank of Comm | Financials | 117 | 40,363 | 59,126 | 68,741 | 41,596 | 49,301 | 4,262 | 10,303 | 12 | 27 | 567.6 | 125.6 | 9.6 | 4.2 | 0.3 |  | 1.0 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| ICICI Bank | Financials | 255 | 1,486,504 | 243,027 | 279,515 | 256,001 | 288,449 | 130,580 | 153,170 | 112 | 131 | 7.8 | 17.1 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 82.2 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Shriram Transport Fin | Financials | 909 | 206,134 | 60,333 | 70,976 | 44,783 | 52,288 | 18,266 | 22,139 | 81 | 98 | 55.0 | 21.2 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 90.9 | 90.9 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 16.7 | 17.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Shriram City Union Fin | Financials | 1,910 | 125,921 | 28,474 | 33,889 | 16,675 | 19,646 | 6,286 | 7,504 | 95 | 114 | 18.6 | 19.4 | 20.0 | 16.8 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 13.2 | 14.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Cholamandalam Inves | Financials | 979 | 152,809 | 25,095 | 30,669 | 15,417 | 18,807 | 7,487 | 9,981 | 48 | 64 | 31.7 | 33.3 | 20.4 | 15.3 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 9.9 | 8.1 | 18.8 | 20.9 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| HDFC Limited | Financials | 1,256 | 1,985,376 | 326,384 | 358,655 | 106,625 | 117,385 | 73,588 | 81,113 | 39 | 46 | - | - | 32.3 | 27.1 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 18.6 | 16.9 | 20.4 | 20.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Mah \& Mah Finance | Financials | 300 | 170,573 | 36,558 | 40,734 | 23,657 | 26,085 | 8,286 | 11,494 | 15 | 20 | 23.2 | 38.7 | 20.4 | 14.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 13.0 | 16.2 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| HDFC Bank | Financials | 1,179 | 2,984,290 | 329,802 | 395,763 | 262,480 | 314,874 | 146,510 | 175,679 | 58 | 69 | 19.2 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 17.0 | 3.6 |  | 11.4 | 9.5 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| SKS Microfinance | Financials | 733 | 100,825 | 19,728 | 28,908 | 6,827 | 10,197 | 7,298 | 8,384 | 53 | 60 | 122.2 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 14.8 | 9.9 | 34.4 | 25.5 | 7.9 | 6.1 |
| Andhra Bank | Financials | 51 | 34,773 | 59,881 | 67,841 | 41,930 | 47,784 | 9,668 | 11,505 | 13 | 15 | 80.8 | 19.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 0.4 |  | 0.8 | 0.7 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Asian Paints | FMCG | 959 | 919,439 | 170,929 | 197,055 | 32,852 | 38,090 | 20,792 | 24,219 | 22 | 25 | 16.9 | 16.5 | 44.2 | 38.0 | 13.9 | 11.8 | 27.8 | 23.7 | 31.4 | 31.2 | 32.1 | 31.9 |
| Hindustan Unilever | FMCG | 833 | 1,802,167 | 337,920 | 372,767 | 67,237 | 74,081 | 44,653 | 49,238 | 21 | 23 | 8.3 | 10.3 | 40.4 | 36.7 | 51.3 | 54.9 | 26.4 | 24.0 | 127.0 | 149.7 | 124.0 | 144.7 |
| Bajaj Corp | FMCG | 366 | 53,985 | 9,432 | 10,640 | 2,929 | 3,314 | 2,544 | 2,826 | 17 | 19 | 4.6 | 11.1 | 21.2 | 19.1 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 18.1 | 16.1 | 53.4 | 58.2 | 50.2 | 58.7 |
| ITC | FMCG | 231 | 2,788,961 | 399,103 | 422,736 | 152,397 | 162,039 | 104,443 | 111,133 | 9 | 9 | 11.1 | 6.4 | 26.6 | 25.0 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 17.8 | 16.8 | 30.1 | 31.5 | 23.5 | 23.9 |
| Emami | FMCG | 1,128 | 256,019 | 31,005 | 35,115 | 8,389 | 9,503 | 5,498 | 6,661 | 24 | 29 | 72.1 | 21.1 | 46.6 | 38.4 | 16.0 | 13.6 | 30.8 | 26.6 | 34.3 | 35.4 | 18.1 | 22.1 |
| Nestle | FMCG | 6,289 | 606,358 | 101,096 | 112,742 | 21,507 | 24,159 | 12,459 | 14,162 | 129 | 147 | 17.1 | 13.7 | 48.7 | 42.8 | 18.3 | 15.9 | 28.0 | 24.5 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 40.5 | 39.7 |
| Jubilant Foodworks | FMCG | 925 | 60,911 | 27,272 | 31,030 | 2,846 | 3,182 | 1,052 | 1,180 | 16 | 18 | -8.2 | 12.2 | 57.6 | 51.3 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 21.2 | 18.6 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 12.2 | 12.1 |
| Marico Industries | FMCG | 248 | 319,962 | 65,107 | 73,527 | 12,389 | 14,084 | 8,573 | 9,762 | 7 | 8 | 18.3 | 13.9 | 37.3 | 32.8 | 12.7 | 10.6 | 25.4 | 22.0 | 34.0 | 32.5 | 31.7 | 30.8 |
| Colgate | FMCG | 940 | 255,748 | 46,445 | 53,244 | 10,914 | 12,594 | 6,572 | 7,510 | 24 | 28 | 8.1 | 14.3 | 38.9 | 34.1 | 21.0 | 18.5 | 23.2 | 20.1 | 53.9 | 54.3 | 58.7 | 57.7 |
| Agro Tech Foods | FMCG | 476 | 11,601 | 8,224 | 8,856 | 656 | 815 | 321 | 442 | 13 | 18 | 37.1 | 37.7 | 36.2 | 26.3 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 18.3 | 14.5 | 8.8 | 11.1 | 8.3 | 9.4 |
| Dabur India Ltd | FMCG | 278 | 488,953 | 88,740 | 97,932 | 16,109 | 17,936 | 13,203 | 14,842 | 8 | 9 | 5.4 | 13.4 | 37.0 | 32.7 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 30.3 | 27.1 | 27.0 | 26.1 | 25.0 | 24.5 |
| Godrej Consumer Pro | FMCG | 1,412 | 480,663 | 101,171 | 111,692 | 18,447 | 20,790 | 12,895 | 14,872 | 38 | 44 | 12.5 | 15.3 | 37.3 | 32.3 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 26.9 | 23.4 | 21.2 | 20.7 | 16.5 | 17.2 |
| Britannia | FMCG | 3,005 | 360,581 | 96,464 | 109,338 | 12,839 | 15,453 | 9,170 | 10,925 | 76 | 91 | 12.3 | 19.1 | 39.3 | 33.0 | 15.4 | 11.9 | 27.9 | 22.8 | 39.2 | 36.0 | 41.7 | 38.6 |
| Apcotex Industries | FMCG | 321 | 6,662 | 5,640 | 6,557 | 798 | 1,074 | 500 | 691 | 24 | 33 | 30.2 | 38.0 | 13.3 | 9.6 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 8.0 | 5.1 | 31.2 | 33.3 | 35.5 | 38.1 |

PhillipCapital India Coverage Universe: Valuation Summary

|  |  | CMP | Mkt Cap | Net Sales | (Rs mn) | EBIDTA | (Rs mn) | PAT (R | mn) | EPS |  | EPS Gro | wth (\%) |  |  | P/B |  | EV/EBI | TDA (x) | ROE | (\%) | ROC | E (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of company | Sector | Rs | Rs mn | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E |
| Glaxo Smithkline Cons | FMCG | 5,075 | 213,449 | 44,452 | 49,551 | 7,214 | 8,190 | 7,546 | 8,548 | 179 | 203 | 9.9 | 13.3 | 28.3 | 25.0 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 25.2 | 21.5 | 27.0 | 26.8 | 28.8 | 28.6 |
| J Kumar Infraprojects | Infrastructure | 192 | 14,535 | 17,608 | 22,010 | 3,081 | 3,852 | 1,370 | 1,768 | 18 | 23 | 32.8 | 29.1 | 10.6 | 8.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 10.2 | 11.9 | 9.6 | 10.9 |
| PNC Infratech Ltd | Infrastructure | 110 | 28,181 | 24,170 | 29,004 | 3,190 | 3,829 | 1,808 | 2,048 | 7 | 8 | $-24.5$ | 13.3 | 15.6 | 13.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 8.9 | 7.4 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 12.3 | 12.2 |
| GMR Infrastructure | Infrastructure | 12 | 69,413 | 94,388 | 94,438 | 33,267 | 35,629 | -28,985 | -25,388 | -5 | -5 | 70.7 | -12.4 | -2.2 | -2.5 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 14.7 | 13.2 | -73.7 |  | -0.6 | -0.1 |
| GVK Power | Infrastructure | 6 | 9,317 | 48,605 | 51,068 | 28,264 | 28,952 | $-3,136$ | -2,543 | -2 | -2 | -53.9 | -18.9 | -3.0 | -3.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 8.3 | 7.8 | -21.7 | -16.8 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| MBL Infrastructures Ltd | Infrastructure | 87 | 3,621 | 25,458 | 28,004 | 2,546 | 2,800 | 722 | 774 | 17 | 19 | -15.1 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 8.6 |
| KNRConstruction | Infrastructure | 727 | 20,443 | 12,004 | 15,005 | 1,681 | 2,101 | 1,076 | 1,341 | 38 | 48 | -33.2 | 24.6 | 19.0 | 15.2 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 13.1 | 10.5 | 13.8 | 15.0 | 12.8 | 13.7 |
| NCC | Infrastructure | 81 | 45,030 | 88,468 | 99,079 | 8,183 | 9,165 | 2,785 | 3,708 | 5 | 7 | 17.5 | 33.1 | 16.2 | 12.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 10.3 | 11.1 |
| ITD Cementation | Infrastructure | 143 | 22,180 | 40,613 |  | 3,249 |  | 1,400 |  | 9 |  | 83.1 |  | 15.8 |  | 3.1 |  | 7.8 |  | 19.3 |  | 17.4 | - |
| Ashoka Buildcon | Infrastructure | 141 | 26,351 | 30,988 | 37,898 | 8,969 | 11,202 | 1,557 | 2,302 | 8 | 12 | 58.3 | 47.9 | 16.9 | 11.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 7.4 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 10.5 | 5.8 | 7.0 |
| Adani Ports \& SEZ | Infrastructure | 277 | 573,447 | 77,415 | 85,521 | 51,476 | 57,189 | 33,145 | 36,854 | 16 | 18 | 16.4 | 11.2 | 17.3 | 15.6 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 15.2 | 13.4 | 20.3 | 18.7 | 10.6 | 10.7 |
| IRB Infrastructure | Infrastructure | 187 | 65,791 | 56,734 | 56,606 | 32,063 | 35,903 | 6,549 | 6,718 | 19 | 19 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 11.3 | 10.4 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| Mindtree Ltd | $1 T$ Services | 474 | 79,493 | 52,645 | 57,095 | 7,270 | 8,452 | 4,606 | 5,493 | 27 | 33 | -16.7 | 19.2 | 17.3 | 14.5 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 17.3 | 18.3 | 18.1 | 19.4 |
| Wipro | ITServices | 465 | 1,130,653 | 557,507 | 590,946 | 109,963 | 120,772 | 85,148 | 95,760 | 35 | 39 | -3.4 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 11.8 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 16.4 | 16.9 |
| NIITTechnologies | ITServices | 426 | 26,140 | 27,831 | 30,169 | 4,517 | 5,115 | 2,568 | 2,934 | 42 | 48 | -5.3 | 14.2 | 10.1 | 8.9 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 15.0 | 15.3 | 12.7 | 15.0 |
| Infosys Technologies | IT Services | 973 | 2,234,008 | 688,385 | 755,583 | 185,546 | 205,984 | 143,602 | 160,687 | 63 | 70 | 6.4 | 11.9 | 15.5 | 13.8 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 9.9 | 9.0 | 21.1 | 21.0 | 22.0 | 22.2 |
| Tata Consultancy | IT Services | 2,260 | 4,452,872 | 1,188,626 | 1,284,801 | 324,576 | 343,619 | 258,385 | 275,475 | 131 | 140 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 17.2 | 16.2 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 13.4 | 12.6 | 29.7 | 27.1 | 31.3 | 28.3 |
| HCL Technologies | IT Services | 805 | 1,136,082 | 507,047 |  | 109,241 |  | 86,842 |  | 61 |  | 9.5 |  | 13.1 |  | 3.2 |  | 10.4 |  | 24.6 |  | 24.1 |  |
| Persistent Systems | ITServices | 602 | 48,168 | 28,512 | 30,811 | 4,553 | 5,053 | 3,105 | 3,410 | 39 | 43 | 4.4 | 9.8 | 15.5 | 14.1 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 10.2 | 9.2 | 16.5 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 15.9 |
| KPIT Technologies | ITServices | 132 | 26,040 | 32,829 | 35,067 | 3,802 | 4,349 | 2,325 | 2,667 | 12 | 14 | -19.9 | 14.7 | 10.9 | 9.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 14.8 | 14.7 | 13.6 | 13.3 |
| Tech Mahindra | ITServices | 484 | 469,725 | 288,675 | 314,233 | 45,198 | 50,797 | 29,774 | 33,619 | 34 | 38 | -2.7 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 12.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 9.9 | 8.4 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 17.1 |
| Allcargo Logistics | Logistics | 172 | 43,398 | 64,113 | 76,477 | 6,225 | 7,862 | 3,189 | 4,345 | 13 | 17 | 25.9 | 36.2 | 13.6 | 10.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 13.6 | 16.1 | 12.0 | 14.4 |
| VRL Logistics Ltd | Logistics | 269 | 24,563 | 18,204 | 19,624 | 2,471 | 2,735 | 896 | 1,056 | 10 | 12 | -12.4 | 17.9 | 27.4 | 23.3 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 11.0 | 9.7 | 16.0 | 17.3 | 11.3 | 12.6 |
| Container Corp Of India | Logistics | 1,168 | 227,642 | 67,724 | 85,237 | 14,912 | 19,459 | 10,859 | 13,473 | 56 | 69 | 22.6 | 24.1 | 21.0 | 16.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 13.5 | 10.0 | 11.8 | 13.2 | 11.7 | 13.2 |
| Navkar | Logistics | 172 | 24,586 | 4,646 | 7,908 | 1,975 | 3,161 | 1,193 | 2,047 | 8 | 14 | 6.8 | 71.6 | 20.6 | 12.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 14.2 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 12.2 | 7.9 | 11.5 |

PhillipCapital India Coverage Universe: Valuation Summary

| Name of company | Sector | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CMP } \\ \hline \mathrm{Rs} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mkt Cap } \\ \hline \text { Rs mn } \end{array}$ | Net Sales (Rs mn) |  | EBIDTA (Rs mn) |  | PAT (Rs mn) |  | EPS (Rs) |  | EPS Growth (\%) |  | P/E (x) |  | P/B (x) |  | EV/EBITDA (x) |  | ROE (\%) |  | ROCE (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E |
| Gateway Distripacks | Logistics | 233 | 25,285 | 10,902 | 12,342 | 2,411 | 3,262 | 1,081 | 1,782 | 10 | 16 | -1.3 | 64.9 | 23.4 | 14.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 12.9 | 9.5 | 11.3 | 17.2 | 8.3 | 11.9 |
| Zee Entertainment | Media | 457 | 439,309 | 68,480 | 79,894 | 19,347 | 25,305 | 11,982 | 16,280 | 12 | 17 | 31.2 | 35.9 | 36.6 | 27.0 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 21.8 | 16.3 | 16.9 | 19.6 | 18.9 | 21.6 |
| DB Corp Limited | Media | 365 | 66,981 | 23,652 | 26,731 | 6,813 | 8,014 | 4,058 | 4,847 | 22 | 26 | 36.6 | 19.4 | 16.5 | 13.8 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 9.8 | 8.2 | 28.4 | 31.7 | 24.7 | 27.6 |
| Jagran Prakashan | Media | 172 | 56,229 | 23,782 | 26,102 | 6,879 | 7,789 | 4,100 | 4,775 | 13 | 15 | 24.9 | 16.5 | 13.3 | 11.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 21.0 | 20.7 | 17.5 | 16.9 |
| HTMedia | Media | 72 | 16,665 | 27,311 | 29,520 | 3,781 | 4,329 | 2,310 | 2,750 | 10 | 12 | 32.6 | 19.0 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 4.5 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 9.1 | 9.3 |
| Dish TV | Media | 89 | 94,646 | 32,740 | 37,555 | 11,371 | 14,565 | 3,389 | 5,367 | 3 | 5 | -51.9 | 58.4 | 27.9 | 17.6 | 13.0 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 6.3 | 46.4 | 42.4 | 57.5 | 47.2 |
| Hindustan Media Vent | Media | 272 | 19,926 | 9,901 | 10,778 | 2,462 | 2,891 | 1,993 | 2,361 | 27 | 32 | 10.3 | 18.5 | 10.0 | 8.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 7.9 | 6.0 | 18.2 | 17.8 | 20.4 | 19.9 |
| Ortel Communication | Media | 128 | 3,890 | 4,646 | 7,908 | 1,975 | 3,161 | 1,193 | 2,047 | 8 | 14 | 6.8 | 71.6 | 15.3 | 8.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 8.3 | 12.2 | 7.9 | 11.5 |
| NALCO | Metals | 57 | 110,370 | 72,089 | 77,808 | 12,945 | 14,162 | 9,019 | 9,765 | 5 | 5 | 77.5 | 8.3 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 7.8 |
| SAIL | Metals | 51 | 210,015 | 427,690 | 508,894 | 16,075 | 40,607 | -14,663 | -1,844 | -4 | -0 | -64.4 | -87.4 | -14.3 | -113.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 33.0 | 14.2 | -3.9 | -0.5 | -0.0 | 1.7 |
| Tata Steel | Metals | 409 | 397,179 | 1,163,992 | 1,243,743 | 164,164 | 189,198 | 44,735 | 64,650 | 46 | 67 | 382.7 | 44.5 | 8.9 | 6.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 14.0 | 17.3 | 5.3 | 6.6 |
| Vedanta Ltd | Metals | 229 | 677,581 | 720,078 | 847,756 | 210,679 | 252,322 | 48,186 | 83,511 | 16 | 22 | 54.0 | 38.2 | 14.1 | 10.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 7.5 | 9.4 |
| JSW Steel | Metals | 1,697 | 410,311 | 530,872 | 591,212 | 134,507 | 147,638 | 43,150 | 50,833 | 179 | 210 | 211.9 | 17.8 | 9.5 | 8.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 19.6 | 19.0 | 9.9 | 10.1 |
| Hindustan Zinc | Metals | 282 | 1,193,019 | 174,849 | 194,319 | 96,157 | 117,663 | 83,178 | 103,441 | 20 | 24 | 1.5 | 24.4 | 14.3 | 11.5 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 9.4 | 7.1 | 19.5 | 21.0 | 19.6 | 20.9 |
| Hindalco Inds | Metals | 175 | 362,198 | 1,009,893 | 1,034,015 | 129,099 | 131,732 | 28,007 | 29,472 | 14 | 14 | 259.1 | 5.2 | 12.9 | 12.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 5.1 |
| Sintex Industries | Midcap | 77 | 40,046 | 95,628 | 112,638 | 16,749 | 20,197 | 7,205 | 8,968 | 14 | 17 | -3.2 | 24.5 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 6.9 | 7.3 |
| KDDL | Midcap | 225 | 2,269 | 5,564 | 7,041 | 502 | 701 | 132 | 224 | 13 | 22 | 47.3 | 70.2 | 17.2 | 10.1 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 14.0 | 20.1 | 8.8 | 12.1 |
| Pennar Inds. | Midcap | 43 | 5,115 | 19,470 | 23,453 | 2,235 | 2,751 | 835 | 1,091 | 7 | 9 | 61.6 | 30.6 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 15.6 | 17.4 | 19.1 | 20.0 |
| Praj Inds. | Midcap | 77 | 13,693 | 11,844 | 14,365 | 1,358 | 1,986 | 899 | 1,352 | 5 | 8 | 31.4 | 50.3 | 15.1 | 10.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 9.2 | 6.2 | 13.3 | 18.0 | 12.9 | 18.0 |
| PEBS | Midcap | 152 | 5,213 | 95,628 | 112,638 | 16,749 | 20,197 | 7,205 | 8,968 | 14 | 17 | -3.2 | 24.5 | 11.1 | 8.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 6.9 | 7.3 |
| Indraprastha Gas | Oil \& Gas | 825 | 115,528 | 37,088 | 40,722 | 10,090 | 11,034 | 5,966 | 6,629 | 43 | 47 | 39.3 | 11.1 | 19.4 | 17.4 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 10.7 | 9.5 | 20.9 | 19.9 | 18.6 | 18.1 |
| Petronet LNG | Oil \& Gas | 370 | 277,538 | 267,706 | 287,917 | 23,224 | 27,096 | 12,790 | 15,249 | 17 | 20 | 19.6 | 19.2 | 21.7 | 18.2 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 12.1 | 10.1 | 17.5 | 18.2 | 12.6 | 14.1 |
| Gujarat State Petronet | Oil \& Gas | 155 | 87,041 | 10,702 | 12,402 | 9,313 | 10,911 | 4,893 | 6,040 | 9 | 11 | 10.1 | 23.5 | 17.8 | 14.4 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 9.6 | 7.8 | 11.3 | 12.6 | 9.3 | 10.5 |
| Gujarat Gas Ltd | Oil \& Gas | 539 | 74,208 | 50,503 | 56,444 | 9,887 | 11,410 | 3,672 | 4,703 | 27 | 34 | 84.9 | 28.1 | 20.2 | 15.8 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 9.8 | 8.5 | 15.4 | 17.2 | 8.7 | 9.4 |
| Reliance Industries | Oil \& Gas | 998 | 3,234,987 | 2,921,804 | 3,496,944 | 398,294 | 584,973 | 219,750 | 298,152 | 74 | 101 | -19.4 | 35.5 | 13.4 | 9.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 12.4 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 5.5 | 7.2 |

PhillipCapital India Coverage Universe: Valuation Summary

| Name of company | Sector | $\frac{\text { CMP }}{\text { Rs }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mkt Cap } \\ \hline \text { Rs mn } \end{array}$ | Net Sales (Rs mn) |  | EBIDTA (Rs mn) |  | PAT (Rs mn) |  | EPS (Rs) |  | EPS Growth (\%) |  | P/E (x) |  | $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{x})$ |  | EV/EBITDA ( x ) |  | ROE (\%) |  | ROCE (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E | FY17E | FY18E |
| Castrol India | Oil \& Gas | 402 | 198,739 | 38,546 | 42,261 | 11,422 | 12,797 | 7,942 | 8,825 | 16 | 18 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 25.0 | 22.5 | 27.4 | 24.4 | 16.7 | 14.8 | 109.5 | 108.4 | 118.5 | 115.0 |
| Gulf Oil Lubricants | Oil \& Gas | 688 | 34,106 | 11,475 | 13,488 | 1,887 | 2,363 | 1,243 | 1,569 | 25 | 32 | 23.9 | 26.3 | 27.4 | 21.7 | 10.6 | 8.3 | 17.9 | 14.2 | 38.8 | 38.1 | 29.0 | 31.4 |
| Cadila Healthcare | Pharma | 398 | 406,989 | 97,958 | 111,249 | 22,283 | 25,422 | 15,631 | 17,948 | 15 | 18 | 6.2 | 14.8 | 26.0 | 22.7 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 18.7 | 16.2 | 22.6 | 21.5 | 17.8 | 18.0 |
| Sun Pharma | Pharma | 707 | 1,700,329 | 323,564 | 353,069 | 107,456 | 126,664 | 68,641 | 82,220 | 29 | 34 | 18.6 | 19.8 | 24.8 | 20.7 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 14.5 | 11.8 | 18.4 | 18.5 | 15.7 | 16.3 |
| Dr Reddy's Labs. | Pharma | 3,188 | 528,285 | 148,697 | 164,583 | 30,483 | 38,677 | 16,696 | 22,775 | 98 | 134 | -17.4 | 36.4 | 32.5 | 23.9 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 18.2 | 14.0 | 13.4 | 15.7 | 8.4 | 11.1 |
| Aurobindo Pharma | Pharma | 741 | 433,347 | 159,605 | 178,790 | 38,976 | 45,591 | 24,753 | 29,428 | 43 | 51 | 21.4 | 18.9 | 17.4 | 14.6 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 11.9 | 9.8 | 26.8 | 24.8 | 25.3 | 25.7 |
| Cipla Ltd | Pharma | 569 | 457,351 | 15,392 | 17,838 | 3,848 | 4,727 | 1,352 | 1,934 | 17 | 24 | -13.7 | 43.0 | 33.8 | 23.6 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 120.0 | 97.7 | 21.8 | 23.2 | - | - |
| Ipca Laboratories | Pharma | 567 | 71,564 | 32,281 | 38,310 | 5,559 | 7,147 | 2,908 | 4,284 | 23 | 34 | 113.5 | 47.3 | 24.4 | 16.6 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 14.3 | 9.0 | 12.0 |
| Divi's Laboratories | Pharma | 1,174 | 311,780 | 44,131 | 52,628 | 16,161 | 20,209 | 11,967 | 14,405 | 45 | 54 | 10.1 | 20.4 | 26.1 | 21.6 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 19.3 | 15.3 | 23.2 | 22.9 | - | - |
| Glenmark Pharma | Pharma | 886 | 250,105 | 87,773 | 101,660 | 20,201 | 24,674 | 11,871 | 15,354 | 42 | 54 | 29.0 | 29.3 | 21.1 | 16.3 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 13.8 | 11.1 | 22.0 | 22.4 | 14.6 | 16.7 |
| Lupin | Pharma | 1,518 | 684,442 | 168,586 | 192,620 | 45,367 | 53,828 | 27,908 | 33,668 | 62 | 75 | 26.1 | 20.6 | 24.5 | 20.3 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 15.9 | 13.0 | 20.9 | 20.6 | - | . |
| Biocon | Pharma | 920 | 184,020 | 38,639 | 46,465 | 9,483 | 11,545 | 5,392 | 6,655 | 27 | 33 | 19.6 | 23.4 | 34.1 | 27.7 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 19.9 | 16.5 | 11.0 | 12.2 | - | . |
| Titan Company | Retail | 314 | 278,632 | 128,390 | 146,821 | 11,986 | 14,271 | 7,785 | 9,640 | 9 | 11 | 12.9 | 23.8 | 35.8 | 28.9 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 23.1 | 19.1 | 20.1 | 21.0 | 20.7 | 21.6 |
| Atul Ltd | Specialty | 2,198 | 65,204 | 29,097 | 32,266 | 5,296 | 6,131 | 3,095 | 3,635 | 104 | 122 | 14.9 | 17.5 | 21.1 | 17.9 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 12.3 | 10.2 | 20.0 | 19.4 | - | - |
| Camlin Fine Sciences | Specialty | 103 | 10,675 | 6,968 | 8,789 | 1,233 | 1,652 | 538 | 744 | 6 | 8 | 32.2 | 38.2 | 18.6 | 13.4 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 10.6 | 8.4 | 25.3 | 27.2 | - | - |
| Meghmani Organics | Specialty | 42 | 10,719 | 16,014 | 17,484 | 3,139 | 3,584 | 967 | 1,133 | 4 | 4 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 11.1 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 13.1 | 13.4 | 11.2 | 12.1 |
| Vinati Organics | Specialty | 581 | 29,995 | 7,188 | 8,427 | 2,164 | 2,624 | 1,350 | 1,673 | 26 | 32 | 40.6 | 24.0 | 22.2 | 17.9 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 13.8 | 10.9 | 20.7 | 21.1 | - |  |
| Aarti Industries | Specialty | 728 | 60,653 | 31,609 | 36,096 | 6,891 | 8,049 | 3,388 | 4,061 | 41 | 49 | 31.9 | 19.8 | 17.6 | 14.7 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 10.9 | 9.3 | 28.0 | 26.8 | - |  |
| SRF Ltd | Specialty | 1,543 | 88,608 | 51,195 | 57,566 | 10,905 | 12,377 | 5,242 | 6,212 | 91 | 108 | 20.9 | 18.5 | 16.9 | 14.3 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 9.4 | 9.9 |
| Bharti Airtel | Telecom | 325 | 1,298,555 | 1,005,185 | 1,097,939 | 361,076 | 404,685 | 45,975 | 77,036 | 11 | 19 | 17.8 | 67.6 | 28.3 | 16.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 9.7 | 6.0 | 6.8 |
| Idea Cellular | Telecom | 79 | 285,364 | 394,873 | 412,429 | 139,155 | 138,427 | 13,347 | 2,309 | 4 | 1 | -56.4 | -82.7 | 21.3 | 123.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 3.5 |
| Tata Communications | Telecom | 649 | 184,908 | 220,447 | 237,790 | 36,115 | 40,386 | 1,401 | 3,882 | 5 | 14 | -35.7 | 177.1 | 132.0 | 47.6 | -36.0 | -68.8 | 7.2 | 6.2 | -27.3 |  | 4.8 | 6.6 |
| Bharti Infratel | Telecom | 370 | 683,985 | 84,732 | 88,529 | 58,942 | 61,502 | 26,398 | 28,609 | 14 | 15 | 13.5 | 8.4 | 25.9 | 23.9 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 15.7 | 16.6 | 11.8 | 12.8 |
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# Global expertise for local markets 

PhillipCapital India headquartered in Mumbai, offers a comprehensive suite of quality and innovative financial services for the individual, corporate and institutional investors. Our Global experience has helped us in creating compliance driven operating procedures. This ensures consistent and unbiased customer service.


CUSTOMER FOCUSSED APPROACH

PhillipCapital experienced professionals are focused on providing the best execution and clearing services to help clients achieve their trading or hedging objectives.

> MARKETING EXPERTISE

Through a global network built over years of hard-won success and a commanding presence on the world's major exchanges, PhillipCapital India opens doors to an expansive range of products and services.


OBJECTIVE INSICHT

With a focus on objective, thought-leading research, PhillipCapital India offers clients practical and timely insight to capitalize on market opportunity.

By cultivating a deep understanding of client needs, our professionals provide a trusted perspective and customized execution and clearing services through the life of our relationship.


